arXiv:0907.5604v1 [math.DG] 31 Jul 2009

SHORT-TIME EXISTENCE OF THE RICCI FLOW ON NONCOMPACT RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

GUOYI XU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, using the local Ricci flow, we prove the short-time existence of the Ricci flow on noncompact manifolds, whose Ricci curvature has global lower bound and sectional curvature has only local average integral bound. The short-time existence of the Ricci flow on noncompact manifolds was studied by Wan-Xiong Shi in 1990s, who required a point-wise bound of curvature tensors. As a corollary of our main theorem, we get the short-time existence part of Shi's theorem in this more general context. We get C^0 curvature estimates for the local Ricci flow by using so called "local local" curvature estimates and Moser iteration. And in an appendix we give the first detailed proof of the short-time existence of the local Ricci flow.

1. **Introduction**

In his well-known paper [6], R. Hamilton introduced the Ricci flow on compact Riemannian manifolds which has proved to be very useful in the research of differential geometry. Let us recall the definition of the Ricci flow, it is the solution of the evolution equation deforming the metric on any n-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g_{ij}):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij} = -2R_{ij} \tag{1.1}$$

where R_{ij} is the Ricci curvature of M at time t.

The first important thing in the study of the Ricci flow on Riemannian manifolds which we have to consider is the short-time existence of the solution. In the case where M is a compact manifold, it is well known that for any given initial metric g_{ij} on M, the evolution equa‘tion (1.1) always has a unique solution for a short time (see [5], [6]).

To prove Yau's **Uniformization Conjecture**, Wan-Xiong Shi initiated studying the Ricci flow on complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds. The short-time existence problem of the evolution equation (1.1) is more difficult than the compact case. In his 79-page paper [12], Shi proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1 (Shi, [12]). *Let (M, g_0) be an n-dimensional complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with its Riemannian curvature tensor $Rm = \{R_{ijkl}\}$ satisfying*

$$|Rm| \leq k_0 \qquad \textit{on } M$$

where $0 < k_0 < +\infty$ *is a constant. Then there is a constant* $T(n, k_0) > 0$ *depending only on n and* k_0 *such that the evolution equation*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij}(x,t) &= -2R_{ij}(x,t) \\ g(x,0) &= g_0(x) \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

has a smooth solution $g_{ij}(x,t)$ *on* $[0, T(n, k_0)]$, *and satisfies the following estimates. For any integer* $m \geq 0$, *there exist constants* $C_m > 0$ *depending only on n, m and* k_0 *such that the covariant derivatives satisfy*

$$\sup_{x\in M} |\nabla^m Rm(x,t)| \leq \frac{C_m}{t^{\frac{m}{2}}}, \quad 0 < t \leq T(n, k_0)$$

Note in fact Shi's theorem requires that the initial manifold (M, g_0) has the bounded geometry, i.e the curvature of M must have a point-wise estimate. In this paper, using Deane Yang's local Ricci flow, we prove the following theorem which requires only the integral conditions on the curvature tensor of the initial manifold and a local Sobolev inequality.

For a point $x \in M$, the open geodesic ball of radius R centered at x on (M, g_0) will be denoted as $\tilde{B}_x(R)$. And $⨍$ means the usual average of integrand.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume* (M, g_0) *is a n-dimensional* $(n \geq 3)$ *complete noncompact Riemannian manifold, satisfying the following conditions:*

$$\begin{cases} \left(⨍_{\tilde{B}_x(4r)} h^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dV_{g_0} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq A_0 r^2 ⨍_{\tilde{B}_x(4r)} |\nabla h|^2 dV_{g_0} \,, \\ \left(⨍_{\tilde{B}_x(r)} |Rm(g_0)|^{p_0} dV_{g_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \leq K_1 \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

for any $x \in M$ *and* $h \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{B}_x(4r))$, *where* A_0 *and* K_1 *are some positive constants, r and* p_0 *are some constants such that* $0 < r \leq 1$, $p_0 > \frac{n}{2}$.

Then the Ricci flow (1.2) has a smooth solution $g_{ij}(x,t)$ *on* $[0, T]$, *and satisfies the following estimates. For any integer* $m \geq 0$, *there exists a positive constant* $C(A_0, K_1, m, n, p_0, r)$ *depending only on* A_0, K_1, m, n, p_0 *and* r, *such that*

$$\sup_{x\in M} |\nabla^m Rm(x,t)| \leq \frac{C(A_0, K_1, m, n, p_0, r)}{t^{\frac{m}{2} + \frac{n}{2p_0}}}, \quad 0 < t \leq T \tag{1.4}$$

where $T = T(A_0, K_1, n, p_0, r)$ *is some positive constant depending only on* A_0, K_1, n, p_0 *and* r.

Remark 1.3. *Note the above theorem provides a method to smooth complete noncompact manifolds or orbifolds. For the compact case, see* [1].

We have the following corollary from Theorem 1.2:

Corollary 1.4. *Assume* (M, g_0) *is a n-dimensional* $(n \geq 3)$ *complete noncompact Riemannian manifold, satisfying the following conditions:*

$$\begin{cases} Rc(g_0) \geq -K g_0 \,, \\ \left(⨍_{\tilde{B}_x(r)} |Rm(g_0)|^{p_0} dV_{g_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \leq K_1 \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

for any $x \in M$ *and* $h \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{B}_x(r))$, *where* K *and* K_1 *are some positive constants, r and* p_0 *are some constants such that* $0 < r \leq 1$, $p_0 > \frac{n}{2}$.

Then the Ricci flow (1.2) has a smooth solution $g_{ij}(x,t)$ *on* $[0,T]$*, and satisfies the following estimates. For any integer* $m \geq 0$*, there exist positive constant* $C(K,K_1,m,n,p_0,r)$ *depending only on* K*,* K_1*,* m*,* n*,* p_0 *and* r*, such that*

$$\sup_{x\in M} |\nabla^m Rm(x,t)| \leq \frac{C(K,K_1,m,n,p_0,r)}{t^{\frac{m}{2}+\frac{n}{2p_0}}}, \quad 0 < t \leq T$$

where $T = T(K,K_1,n,p_0,r)$ *is some positive constant depending only on* K*,* K_1*,* n*,* p_0 *and* r*.*

Proof: Because $Rc(g_0) \geq -Kg_0$, by theorem 3.1 in [10] and corollary 1.1 in [9], we get $A_0 \leq C(n,K)$, then apply Theorem 1.2, we get our conclusion. □

We also have the following corollary which is part of Shi's Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.5. *Let* (M,g_0) *be an n-dimensional complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with its Riemannian curvature tensor* $\{R_{ijkl}\}$ *satisfying* $|Rm| \leq k_0$ *on* M*, where* $0 < k_0 < +\infty$ *is a constant. Then there is a constant* $T(n,k_0) > 0$ *depending only on* n *and* k_0 *such that the evolution equation (1.2) has a smooth solution* $g_{ij}(x,t)$ *on* $[0,\ T(n,k_0)]$*.*

Proof: By $|Rm| \leq k_0$, there exists $K = nk_0$ such that

$$Rc(g_0) \geq -Kg_0$$

and we also have

$$\Big(\fint_{\tilde{B}_x(1)} |Rm(g_0)|^n dV_{g_0} \Big)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq k_0$$

Now we choose

$$K = nk_0,\ K_1 = k_0,\ p_0 = n,\ r = 1$$

then by all the above choice of K, K_1, p_0 and r, (1.5) in corollary 1.4 are satisfied. By Corollary 1.4 we get our corollary. □

And the other theorem is the case $p_0 = \frac{n}{2}$, where p_0 is the power of $|Rm|$:

Theorem 1.6. *Assume* (M,g_0) *is a n-dimensional* $(n \geq 3)$ *complete noncompact Riemannian manifold, satisfying the following conditions:*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rl} Vol_{g_0}(\tilde{B}_x(\rho)) & \geq N_0\Big(\frac{\rho}{4r}\Big)^n Vol_{g_0}(\tilde{B}_x(4r))\ , \\ \Big(\int_{\tilde{B}_x(r)} h^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dV_{g_0} \Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} & \leq A_0 \int_{\tilde{B}_x(r)} |\nabla h|^2 dV_{g_0}\ , \\ (\int_{\tilde{B}_x(r)} |Rm(g_0)|^{\frac{n}{2}} dV_{g_0})^{\frac{2}{n}} & \leq (\tau n A_0)^{-1}\ , \\ \Big(\int_{\tilde{B}_x(r)} |Rc(g_0)|^{p_1} dV_{g_0} \Big)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} & < K_1 \end{array}\right. \tag{1.6}$$

for any $x \in M$*,* $0 < \rho \leq 4r$*,* $h \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{B}_x(r))$*, where* $p_1 > \frac{n}{2}$*,* A_0*,* N_0 *,*K_1 *and* $0 < r \leq 1$ *are some positive constants. And* τ *is some positive constant satisfying* $\tau > \max\Big\{36N, \frac{16p_1N}{\sqrt{n}}\Big\}$*, where* $N \triangleq 8^n N_0^{-2}$*.*

Then the Ricci flow (1.2) has a smooth solution $g_{ij}(x,t)$ *on* $[0,T]$*, and satisfies the following estimates. For any integer* $m \geq 0$*, there exist constants* $C(n,m,N_0,\tau,r) > 0$ *depending only on* n*,* m*,* N_0*,* τ *and* r*, such that*

$$\sup_{x\in M} |\nabla^m Rm(x,t)| \leq \frac{C(n,m,N_0,\tau,r)}{t^{\frac{m+2}{2}}}, \quad 0 < t \leq T \tag{1.7}$$

where T is defined as the following:

$$T = C(n, N_0, p_1, \tau, r) \min\left\{1,\ A_0^{-\frac{n}{2p_1-n}} K_1^{-\frac{2p_1}{2p_1-n}}\right\} \tag{1.8}$$

The typical examples of our theorem include manifolds with bounded curvature and the suitable perturbations of Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ which have unbounded curvatures but satisfy the assumptions (1.3). The detailed discussions about the examples and further applications will be addressed in future papers.

The organization of this paper is as the following: In section 2, we discuss the evolution equations and inequalities of curvature tensors. We do curvature's "local local" estimates in detail in section 3, then combine these results with the results of Yuanqi Wang in [14] to prove the time estimate of the local Ricci flow in section 4. Finally, we prove our main theorem by using the local Ricci flow converging to Ricci flow in section 5. In the appendix, we prove the short-time existence of Deane Yang's local Ricci flow following Deane Yang's brief sketch in [15].

The author is grateful to his advisor Professor Robert Gulliver for reading this paper and providing insightful comments and suggestions. He would like to thank his teacher Professor Jiaping Wang for suggesting this problem and bringing his attention to the article [15], also for his encouragement and many helpful suggestions. He would like to thank King-Yeung Lam, Weiwei Wu and Weiyi Zhang for useful discussions.

2. **Evolution equations of curvature tensors**

First, we recall the definition of local Ricci flow introduced by Deane Yang in [15]. Let M^n be a smooth n-dimensional manifold with Riemannian metric g_0, Ω an open bounded domain of M and $n \geq 3$. Let χ be a nonnegative smooth compactly supported function on Ω, and $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$. The local Ricci flow is the solution of the following evolution equation:

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = -2\chi^2 Rc(g), \quad g(0) = g_0, \quad \chi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \tag{2.1}$$

Now we would like to find the evolution equation of the curvature tensor Rm. We use the following notations: $R_{ijk}^l = g^{lp}R_{ijkp}$, $R_i^r = g^{rp}R_{ip}$, and R is the scalar curvature.

Lemma 2.1. *Under the local Ricci flow (2.1), we have*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}R_{ijkl} = \chi^2 \Delta R_{ijkl} + Q + I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \tag{2.2}$$

where Q, I_1, I_2, I_3 *are defined in (2.9), (2.5),(2.6),(2.7).*

Proof: Firstly, from [2], we know that if $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_{ij} = h_{ij}$, then we have (see Remark 3.4 in [2])

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}R_{ijk}^l = \frac{1}{2}g^{lp}[\nabla_i\nabla_k h_{jp} + \nabla_j\nabla_p h_{ik} - \nabla_i\nabla_p h_{jk} - \nabla_j\nabla_k h_{ip} - R_{ijk}^q h_{qp} - R_{ijp}^q h_{kq}]$$

In our case, we have

$$h_{ij} = -2\chi^2 R_{ij}.$$

Now

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}R_{ijkl} = (-2\chi^2 R_{lm})R^m_{ijk} + g_{lm}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}R^m_{ijk}). \tag{2.3}$$

We calculate $g_{lm}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}R^m_{ijk}$ firstly:

$$g_{lm}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}R^m_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2}[\nabla_i\nabla_k h_{jl} + \nabla_j\nabla_l h_{ik} - \nabla_i\nabla_l h_{jk} - \nabla_j\nabla_k h_{il} - R^q_{ijk}h_{ql} - R^q_{ijl}h_{kq}].$$

We calculate $\nabla_i\nabla_k h_{jl}$ as a sample, using the notation $\chi_i = \nabla_i\chi$ and $\chi_{ij} = \nabla_i\nabla_j\chi$:

$$\nabla_i\nabla_k h_{jl} = -2(\chi^2\nabla_i\nabla_k R_{jl} + 2\chi\chi_i\nabla_k R_{jl} + 2\chi\chi_k\nabla_i R_{jl} + 2\chi_i\chi_k R_{jl} + 2\chi\chi_{ik}R_{jl})$$

So after a straightforward computation we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_{lm}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}R^m_{ijk} &= \chi^2(\nabla_i\nabla_l R_{jk} + \nabla_j\nabla_k R_{il} - \nabla_i\nabla_k R_{jl} - \nabla_j\nabla_l R_{ik}) \\ &\quad + \chi^2(R^q_{ijk}R_{ql} + R^q_{ijl}R_{kq}) + I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 = 2\chi[(&\chi_k\nabla_j R_{il} + \chi_j\nabla_k R_{il} + \chi_l\nabla_i R_{jk} \\ &+ \chi_i\nabla_l R_{jk}) - (\chi_k\nabla_i R_{jl} + \chi_i\nabla_k R_{jl} + \chi_l\nabla_j R_{ik} + \chi_j\nabla_l R_{ik})] \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

$$I_2 = 2[(\chi_i\chi_l R_{jk} + \chi_j\chi_k R_{il}) - (\chi_i\chi_k R_{jl} + \chi_j\chi_l R_{ik})] \tag{2.6}$$

$$I_3 = 2\chi[(\chi_{il}R_{jk} + \chi_{jk}R_{il}) - (\chi_{ik}R_{jl} + \chi_{jl}R_{ik})]. \tag{2.7}$$

Now we would like to compute ΔR_{ijkl}. From the formula for ΔR^l_{ijk} on page 178 of [2], we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta R_{ijkl} &= \Delta(g_{lm}R^m_{ijk}) = g_{lm}\Delta R^m_{ijk} \\ &= (\nabla_i\nabla_l R_{jk} + \nabla_j\nabla_k R_{il} - \nabla_i\nabla_k R_{jl} - \nabla_j\nabla_l R_{ik}) \\ &\quad + (R^r_j R_{irkl} - R^r_i R_{jrkl}) - g^{pq}(R^r_{ijp}R_{rqkl} - 2R^r_{pik}R_{jqrl} + 2R^r_{jqk}R_{pirl}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

By (2.3), (2.4) and (2.8), we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}R_{ijkl} = \chi^2\Delta R_{ijkl} + Q + I_1 + I_2 + I_3,$$

where

$$Q = Q_1 + Q_2 \tag{2.9}$$

$$Q_1 = \chi^2 g^{pq}(R^r_{ijp}R_{rqkl} - 2R^r_{pik}R_{jqrl} + 2R^r_{jqk}R_{pirl}) \tag{2.10}$$

$$Q_2 = -\chi^2(R_{mjkl}R^m_i + R_{imkl}R^m_j + R_{ijml}R^m_k + R_{ijkm}R^m_l). \tag{2.11}$$

This is our conclusion. □

Next we calculate $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|Rm|^2$, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.2. *Under the local Ricci flow (2.1), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}|Rm| \le \chi^2\Delta|Rm| &+ \Big(\frac{\chi^2\big|\nabla|Rm|\big|^2}{|Rm|}\Big) - (1-\epsilon)\Big(\frac{\chi^2|\nabla Rm|^2}{|Rm|}\Big) \\ &+ 10\chi^2|Rm|^2 + \Big(\frac{10^5}{\epsilon}\Big)n|\nabla\chi|^2|Rm| + \Big(\frac{8\chi g^{ri}g^{sj}g^{pk}g^{ql}R_{rspq}\chi_{il}R_{jk}}{|Rm|}\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

where ϵ is any positive constant satisfying $0 < \epsilon < 1$.

Proof:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|Rm|^2 = 2g^{ri}g^{sj}g^{pk}g^{ql}R_{rspq}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}R_{ijkl}\Big) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(g^{ri}g^{sj}g^{pk}g^{ql})R_{rspq}R_{ijkl}$$

Note

$$\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}(g^{ri})g^{sj}g^{pk}g^{ql}R_{rspq}R_{ijkl} = 2\chi^2 g^{ri}g^{sj}g^{pk}g^{ql}R_{rspq}R_{mjkl}R_i^m$$

Similarly, we get

$$\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}(g^{ri}g^{sj}g^{pk}g^{ql})R_{rspq}R_{ijkl} = -2g^{ri}g^{sj}g^{pk}g^{ql}R_{rspq}Q_2$$

So we have

$$\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}|Rm|^2 = \chi^2[\Delta(|Rm|^2) - 2|\nabla Rm|^2] + 2g^{ri}g^{sj}g^{pk}g^{ql}R_{rspq}[Q_1 + I_1 + I_2 + I_3]$$

Let $f = |Rm|$, then we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(f^2) = \chi^2\Delta(f^2) - 2\chi^2|\nabla Rm|^2 + 2g^{ri}g^{sj}g^{pk}g^{ql}R_{rspq}[Q_1 + I_1 + I_2 + I_3]$$

Firstly we have the following estimate:

$$2g^{ri}g^{sj}g^{pk}g^{ql}R_{rspq}Q_1 \leq 10\chi^2 f^3.$$

Next it is easy to get

$$2g^{ri}g^{sj}g^{pk}g^{ql}R_{rspq}I_1 \leq 32\sqrt{n}\chi|\nabla\chi||\nabla Rm|f \leq 2\epsilon(\chi|\nabla Rm|)^2 + (\frac{10^4}{\epsilon})n|\nabla\chi|^2 f^2;$$

also

$$2g^{ri}g^{sj}g^{pk}g^{ql}R_{rspq}I_2 \leq 16\sqrt{n}\,|\nabla\chi|^2 f^2$$

We could use the normal coordinate system to simplify:

$$2g^{ri}g^{sj}g^{pk}g^{ql}R_{rspq}I_3 = 16\chi\textstyle\sum_{ijkl}(\chi_{il}R_{jk}R_{ijkl}) = 16\chi g^{ri}g^{sj}g^{pk}g^{ql}R_{rspq}\chi_{il}R_{jk}$$

Using normal coordinates it is also easy to see the following

$$\Big|\nabla|Rm|\Big| \leq |\nabla Rm|.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}(f^2) \quad &\leq 2f\chi^2\Delta f + 2\chi^2|\nabla f|^2 - (2-2\epsilon)\chi^2|\nabla Rm|^2 + 10\chi^2 f^3\\ &+(\tfrac{10^5}{\epsilon})n|\nabla\chi|^2 f^2 + 16\chi g^{ri}g^{sj}g^{pk}g^{ql}R_{rspq}\chi_{il}R_{jk},\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}f \quad &\leq \chi^2\Delta f + (\tfrac{\chi^2|\nabla f|^2}{f}) - (1-\epsilon)(\tfrac{\chi^2|\nabla Rm|^2}{f})\\ &+10\chi^2 f^2 + (\tfrac{10^5}{\epsilon})n|\nabla\chi|^2 f + \Big(\tfrac{8\chi g^{ri}g^{sj}g^{pk}g^{ql}R_{rspq}\chi_{il}R_{jk}}{f}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Replace f with $|Rm|$, we get our conclusion. □

Using the formula in section 1 of Chapter 3 of [2], we could get the following formula (because the calculation is very similar with the reduction of Lemma 2.1, so we omit the calculation here, just give the formula):

Lemma 2.3. *Under the local Ricci flow (2.1), we have*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}R_{ij} = \chi^2\Delta(R_{ij}) + J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4, \tag{2.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{where}\quad J_1 &= 2\chi g^{pq}\chi_p\nabla_q R_{ij} + \chi(\chi_i\nabla_j R + \chi_j\nabla_i R) \\ &\quad - 2\chi\chi_p g^{pq}(\nabla_i R_{qj} + \nabla_j R_{qi}), \\ J_2 &= -2g^{pq}\chi(\chi_{ip}R_{qj} + \chi_{jp}R_{qi}) + 2\chi\chi_{ij}R, \\ J_3 &= -2g^{pq}(\chi_i\chi_p R_{qj} + \chi_j\chi_p R_{qi}) + 2\chi_i\chi_j R, \\ J_4 &= 2\chi^2 g^{pq}(R^r_{qij}R_{rp} - R_{ip}R_{qj}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Imitating the reduction of Lemma 2.2, we could also get the following theorem about $|Rc|$:

Lemma 2.4. *Under the local Ricci flow (2.1), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}|Rc| \le\ & \chi^2\Delta|Rc| + \Big(\frac{\chi^2\big|\nabla|Rc|\big|^2}{|Rc|}\Big) - (1-\epsilon)\Big(\frac{\chi^2|\nabla Rc|^2}{|Rc|}\Big) \\ & + (\frac{10^5}{\epsilon})n|\nabla\chi|^2|Rc| + (2+\sqrt{n})\chi^2|Rc||Rm| \\ & + \Big(\frac{g^{ik}g^{jl}R_{kl}J_2}{|Rc|}\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

where J_2 in the last line comes from (2.14), and ϵ is any positive constant satisfying $0 < \epsilon < 1$.

Proof: Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.2. □

3. "Local local" curvature estimates

In this section, we will use the product of two different cut-off functions to do curvature estimates, so we call them "Local local" curvature estimates. Let (M^n, g_0) satisfy the hypothesis of theorem 1.2 (First case) or of theorem 1.6 (second case).

3.1. The First Case: $p_0 > \frac{n}{2}$.

Now we state some lemmas about Sobolev constants. Let us recall that $\tilde{B}_x(r)$ is the open geodesic ball of radius r centered at x on (M, g_0). There is well-known Sobolev inequality

$$\Big(\fint_{\tilde{B}_x(r)} f^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dV_{g(t)}\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \le A(\tilde{B}_x(r), t)r^2 \fint_{\tilde{B}_x(r)} |\nabla f|^2 dV_{g(t)}, \quad \forall f \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{B}_x(r)) \tag{3.1}$$

with respect to each metric $g(t)$, note $A(\tilde{B}_x(r), t)$ depends on both t and $\tilde{B}_x(r)$. When r is fixed, we use the notation $A(t)$ to denote a uniform Sobolev constant for every $\tilde{B}_x(r)$, $x \in M$.

Lemma 3.1. *If $a^{-1}g_0 \le g(t) \le ag_0$, then we have the following inequality:*

$$a^{-(n+1)}A_0 \le A(t) \le a^{n+1}A_0$$

where $A_0 = A(0)$ is the uniform Sobolev constant with respect to $\tilde{B}_x(r)$ and g_0, $a \ge 1$ is some positive constant.

Proof: It is straightforward from scaling argument and (3.1). □

In the rest of this subsection, we assume (M, g_0) satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.2, namely inequalities (1.3), with some fixed constant $0 < r \leq 1$.

By Theorem 5.2.1 in [11] and the Sobolev inequality in (1.3), we get that there exists $N_1 = N_1(A_0, r)$ such that

$$Vol_{g_0}(\tilde{B}_x(\rho)) \geq N_1\Big(\frac{\rho}{4r}\Big)^n Vol(\tilde{B}_x(4r)), \quad 0 < \rho \leq 4r$$

Then by the argument of Lemma 1.1 in [7], we have a sequence of points $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ in M such that $M = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{B}_{x_i}(r)$ and $\tilde{B}_{x_j}(r)$ intersects at most $N = N(A_0, r, n)$ balls $\tilde{B}_{x_i}(r)$, $i \neq j$, and $\tilde{B}_{x_i}(\frac{r}{2}) \cap \tilde{B}_{x_j}(\frac{r}{2}) = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. Then by partition of unity principle, we have $\xi_i \in C_0^{\infty}(\tilde{B}_{x_i}(r))$, $\sum_i \xi_i^2 = 1$, $|\tilde{\nabla}\xi_i|^2 \leq C(r, N)$ (using the argument on page 60 of [7]) and $0 \leq \xi_i \leq 1$.

For simplicity reason, we use notation B_i to replace $\tilde{B}_{x_i}(r)$ in the rest of this section and section 4. And we also use ξ to replace ξ_i in some proofs of this section, it will be clear from the context when we do such replacement.

We use the following notations which are a little different from the usual, note the denominator of the right sides of the following definitions are the same term. In fact, the right sides of the following are all the same:

$$\fint_{B_i\cap\Omega} h dV_{g(t)} \triangleq \frac{1}{V_{g(t)}(B_i)} \int_{B_i\cap\Omega} h dV_{g(t)}$$

$$\fint_{B_i} h dV_{g(t)} \triangleq \frac{1}{V_{g(t)}(B_i)} \int_{B_i\cap\Omega} h dV_{g(t)}$$

$$\fint_{\Omega} h dV_{g(t)} \triangleq \frac{1}{V_{g(t)}(B_i)} \int_{B_i\cap\Omega} h dV_{g(t)}$$

for any $h \in C_0^{\infty}(B_i)$.

By Theorem 6.7 and DeTurck's trick, (2.1) has a smooth solution on a sufficiently small time interval starting at $t = 0$. Let $[0, T_{\max})$ be a maximal time interval on which (2.1) has a smooth solution and such that the following hold for each metric $g(t)$ (where $g(0) = g_0$):

$$\Big(\fint_{\tilde{B}_x(r)} h^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dV_{g(t)}\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq 4A_0 r^2 \fint_{\tilde{B}_x(r)} |\nabla h|^2 dV_{g(t)} \ ; \tag{3.2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} g_0 \leq g(t) \leq 2g_0 \ ; \tag{3.3}$$

$$\Big(\fint_{B_i\cap\Omega} |Rm(g(t))|^{p_0} dV_{g(t)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \leq (2N)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} K_1 \tag{3.4}$$

for any $x \in M$, $h \in C_0^{\infty}(\tilde{B}_x(r))$ and $i = 1, 2, \cdots$.

We always assume $||\nabla\chi||_{\infty} \triangleq ||\nabla\chi||_{(g_0,\infty)} = \sup_{x\in\Omega} (g_0^{ij}\nabla_i\chi\nabla_j\chi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1$ in this section.

Firstly, we have the following kind of "energy estimate" for $|Rm|$.

Lemma 3.2. *If* $0 \le t < T_{\max}$*, then for any* i*,* $q \ge 1$*,* $p \ge \frac{n}{2}$*,* $p' \ge 0$ *and* $p \ge p'$*, there exists some positive constant* $C_1(A_0, K_1, n, p_0, r)$ *such that we have the following:*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\fint_\Omega \xi_i^{2q}\chi^{2p'}|Rm|^p dV_{g(t)}\Big) + \frac{1}{10}\Big(\fint_\Omega \Big|\nabla(\xi_i^q\chi^{p'+1}|Rm|^{\frac{p}{2}})\Big|^2 dV_{g(t)}\Big)\\ \le C_1(A_0, K_1, n, p_0, r)p^{\frac{n}{2p_0-n}+3}q^2\Big(\fint_{B_i\cap\Omega}\xi_i^{2q-2}\chi^{2p'}|Rm|^p dV_{g(t)}\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Proof: Set $f(x,t) = |Rm(x)|_{g(t)}$. Using Lemma 2.2 and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(dV_{g(t)}) = \frac{1}{2}[g^{ij}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_{ij}] = -\chi^2 R$, we get the following:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\fint_\Omega \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'}f^p\Big) \le \sum_{k=1}^{7} I_k \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$I_1 = p\fint \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'+2}f^{p-1}\Delta f, \quad I_2 = p\fint \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'+2}f^{p-2}|\nabla f|^2$$

$$I_3 = -(1-\epsilon)p\fint \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'+2}f^{p-2}|\nabla Rm|^2, \quad I_4 = 10p\fint \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'+2}f^{p+1} - \fint \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'+2}f^p R$$

$$I_5 = \Big(\frac{10^5}{\epsilon}\Big)np\fint \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'}|\nabla\chi|^2 f^p, \quad I_6 = 8p\fint \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'+1}f^{p-2}g^{ri}g^{sj}g^{pk}g^{ql}R_{rspq}R_{jk}\chi_{il}$$

$$I_7 = n(n-1)\Big(\fint_\Omega \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'}f^p\Big)\cdot\Big(\fint_{B_i}\chi^2 f\Big)$$

For simplification, we set the following notations:

$$\tilde{a} = \xi^q\chi^{p'}f^{\frac{p}{2}}|\nabla\chi|; \quad \tilde{b} = \xi^q\chi^{p'+1}f^{\frac{p}{2}-1}|\nabla f|;$$
$$\tilde{c} = \xi^q\chi^{p'+1}f^{\frac{p}{2}-1}|\nabla Rm|; \quad \tilde{d} = \fint\Big|\nabla(\xi^q\chi^{p'+1}f^{\frac{p}{2}})\Big|^2; \quad \tilde{e} = \xi^{q-1}\chi^{p'+1}f^{\frac{p}{2}}|\nabla\xi|$$

Then we get the following

$$I_1 \le -p(p-1)\fint\tilde{b}^2 + 2\epsilon p\fint\tilde{b}^2 + \frac{pq^2}{\epsilon}\fint\tilde{e}^2 + \frac{p(p'+1)^2}{\epsilon}\fint\tilde{a}^2$$

$$I_2 \le p\fint\tilde{b}^2, \quad I_3 = -(1-\epsilon)p\fint\tilde{c}^2, \quad I_5 \le \Big(\frac{10^5}{\epsilon}\Big)np\fint\tilde{a}^2$$

where $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{8}$ is some constant to determined later.

For I_6, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_6 &= -8p\fint[\nabla_l(\xi^{21}\chi^{2p'+1}f^{p-2}g^{ri}g^{sj}g^{pk}g^{ql}R_{rspq}R_{jk})]\chi_i\\ &\le \epsilon p\fint\tilde{c}^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}10^6 n^3p^3q^2\Big(\fint\tilde{a}^2 + \fint\tilde{e}^2\Big)\end{aligned}$$

And we also have

$$I_7 \le n(n-1)\fint_\Omega \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'}f^p\cdot\Big(\fint_{B_i\cap\Omega}f^{p_0}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \le n(n-1)(2N)^{\frac{1}{p_0}}K_1\Big(\fint_{B_i\cap\Omega}\xi_i^{2q-2}\chi^{2p'}f^p dV_{g(t)}\Big)$$

Note that $|R| \le n|Rm| \le 2pf$, $p_0' < \frac{n}{n-2}$ where $\frac{1}{p_0} + \frac{1}{p_0'} = 1$, then we have

(3.7)

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &\le 12p\fint\xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'+2}f^{p+1} \le 12p\,\|f\|_{p_0,B_i\cap\Omega}\cdot\Big[\fint(\xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'+2}f^p)^{p_0'}\Big]^{\frac{1}{p_0'}}\\ &\le 12p(2N)^{\frac{1}{p_0}}K_1\Big[C(\epsilon,p_0,n)(2NpK_1A_0)^{\frac{n}{2p_0-n}}\fint\xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'+2}f^p + \frac{\epsilon}{2NpK_1A_0}\Big(\fint(\xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'+2}f^p)^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}\Big]\\ &\le C(\epsilon,A_0,K_1,n,p_0)p^{\frac{n}{2p_0-n}+1}q^2\fint_{B_i\cap\Omega}\xi^{2q-2}\chi^{2p'+2}f^p + 100\epsilon\tilde{d}\end{aligned}$$

where $\| f \|_{p_0, B_i\cap\Omega} = \left(\fint_{B_i\cap\Omega} f^{p_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}}$.

Combining the above estimates together, note $\tilde{b} \leq \tilde{c}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\fint_{\Omega} \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'} f^p \Big) &\leq p(1+4\epsilon - p)\fint \tilde{b}^2 + 100\epsilon\tilde{d} + \tfrac{2}{\epsilon}10^6 n^3 p^3 q^2 \Big(\fint \tilde{a}^2 + \fint \tilde{e}^2 \Big) \\ &\quad + C(\epsilon, A_0, K_1, n, p_0) p^{\frac{n}{2p_0 - n}+1} q^2 \fint_{B_i\cap\Omega} \xi^{2q-2}\chi^{2p'} f^p \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

On the other hand, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \Big| \nabla(\xi^q \chi^{p'+1} f^{\frac{p}{2}}) \Big|^2 &\leq (q\tilde{e}^2 + (p'+1)\tilde{a} + \tfrac{p}{2}\tilde{b})^2 \\ &\leq (2 + \tfrac{1}{2\epsilon})q^2\tilde{e}^2 + (2 + \tfrac{1}{2\epsilon})(p'+1)^2\tilde{a}^2 + (\tfrac{1}{4}+\epsilon)p^2\tilde{b}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\fint \tilde{b}^2 \geq \Big[(\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon)p^2 \Big]^{-1}\tilde{d} - \Big[(\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon)p^2 \Big]^{-1} \Big(2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \Big)(q+p'+1)^2 \fint (\tilde{a}^2 + \tilde{e}^2) \tag{3.9}$$

By (3.8), (3.9), using $|\tilde{\nabla}\xi_i|^2 \leq C(r, N)$, $0 \leq \xi_i \leq 1$, $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, and note $1 + 4\epsilon - p < 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\fint \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'} f^p \Big) + \Big(\tfrac{4(p-1-4\epsilon)}{(1+4\epsilon)p} - 100\epsilon \Big)\tilde{d} \\ &\leq C(\epsilon, A_0, K_1, n, p_0, r) p^{\frac{n}{2p_0-n}+3} q^2 \fint_{B_i\cap\Omega} \xi^{2q-2}\chi^{2p'} f^p \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Choose $\epsilon = \frac{1}{1000}$ in (3.10), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\fint \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'} f^p \Big) + \frac{1}{10}\Big(\fint |\nabla(\xi^q\chi^{p'+1} f^{\frac{p}{2}})|^2 \Big) \\ &\leq C(A_0, K_1, n, p_0, r) p^{\frac{n}{2p_0-n}+3} q^2 \fint_{B_i\cap\Omega} \xi^{2q-2}\chi^{2p'} f^p \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Replace f with $|Rm|$, the lemma is proved.

□

For simplicity reason, we use C_1 intead of $C_1(A_0, K_1, n, p_0, r)$ in the rest of this section, similar for C_2 etc.

In the next lemma, we use Moser iteration to get local C^0-estimate of $|\chi^2 Rm(g(t))|$.

Lemma 3.3. *If* $0 < t < T_{\max} < 1$, *then there exists some positive constant* $C_2(A_0, K_1, n, p_0, r)$, *such that*

$$|\chi^2 Rm(g(t))| \leq C_2 t^{-\frac{n}{2p_0}} \tag{3.12}$$

Proof: Let $f(x,t) = |Rm(x)|_{g(t)}$. Given any t_1 and t_2 such that $0 < t_1 < t_2 < T_{\max}$, set

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq t \leq t_1 \\ \frac{t-t_1}{t_2-t_1} & t_1 \leq t \leq t_2 \\ 1 & t_2 \leq t < T_{\max} \end{cases} \tag{3.13}$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\fint_{\Omega} \xi_i^{2q}\chi^{2p'} f^p dV_{g(t)} \Big) + \frac{1}{10}\Big(\fint_{\Omega} \Big|\nabla(\xi_i^q \chi^{p'+1} f^{\frac{p}{2}})\Big|^2 dV_{g(t)} \Big) \\ &\leq C_2 p^{\frac{n}{2p_0-n}+3} q^2 \Big(\fint_{B_i\cap\Omega} \xi_i^{2q-2}\chi^{2p'} f^p dV_{g(t)} \Big) \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

In the rest of the proof, we use $C = C(A_0, K_1, n, p_0, r)$ for simplification. We multiply (3.14) by $\psi(t)$, integrate it from 0 to t_0 with respect to t, where $t_2 \leq t_0 < T_{\max}$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\fint_\Omega (\xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'} f^p) dV_{g(t_0)} + \tfrac{1}{10}\int_{t_2}^{t_0} \fint_\Omega |\nabla(\xi^q \chi^{p'+1} f^{\frac{p}{2}})|^2 \\ &\leq C p^{\frac{n}{2p_0-n}+3} q^2 \Big(1 + \tfrac{1}{t_2-t_1}\Big) \int_{t_1}^{t_0} \fint_{B_i\cap\Omega} \xi^{2(q-1)}\chi^{2p'} f^p\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Note (3.15) is in fact valid for any $0 < t_1 < t_2 \leq t_0 < T_{\max}$.

For $0 < t \leq t_0$, we denote

$$H(p, p', q, t) = \int_t^{t_0} \fint_{B_i\cap\Omega} \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'} f^p$$

then for any $0 < \iota < \iota' \leq t_0 < T_{\max}$, using (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&H(p(1+\tfrac{2}{n}), p'(1+\tfrac{2}{n}) + 1, q(1+\tfrac{2}{n}), \iota') = \int_{\iota'}^{t_0} \fint_{B_i\cap\Omega} (\xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'} f^p)^{\frac{2}{n}} (\xi^q \chi^{p'+1} f^{\frac{p}{2}})^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\iota'}^{t_0} \Big[\fint (\xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'} f^p)\Big]^{\frac{2}{n}} \cdot 4A_0 r^2 \Big[\fint |\nabla(\xi^q\chi^{p'+1} f^{\frac{p}{2}})|^2\Big] dt \\ &\leq C p^{\frac{4(3p_0-n)}{2p_0-n}} q^{2(1+\frac{2}{n})} \Big(1 + \tfrac{1}{\iota'-\iota}\Big)^{1+\frac{2}{n}} H(p, p', q-1, \iota)^{1+\frac{2}{n}}\end{aligned}$$

Denote $\beta = \frac{4(3p_0-n)}{2p_0-n}$, $\nu = 1 + \frac{2}{n}$, $\eta = \nu^{n+2}$, fixed $t \in (0, t_0)$, set

$$\begin{aligned}&p_k = p_0\nu^k\ , \quad p'_k = p_k - \tfrac{n}{2}\ , \quad p'_0 = p_0 - \tfrac{n}{2}\ , \\ &q_k = n\nu^k - (\tfrac{n}{2}+1)\ , \quad q_0 = \tfrac{n}{2} - 1\ , \quad \iota_k = t(1-\eta^{-k})\ , \\ &H_k = H(p_k, p'_k, q_k, \iota_k)\ , \quad \Phi_k = H_k^{\frac{1}{p_k}}\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\Phi_0 = \Big(\int_0^{t_0} \fint \xi^{n-2}\chi^{2p_0-n} f^{p_0}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p_0}}$$

Then for any $k \geq 0$, we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned}H_{k+1} &= H(p_{k+1}, p'_{k+1}, q_{k+1}, \iota_{k+1}) \\ &= H\Big(p_k(1+\tfrac{2}{n}), p'_k(1+\tfrac{2}{n}) + 1, (q_k+1)(1+\tfrac{2}{n}), \iota_{k+1}\Big) \\ &\leq C p_k^\beta (q_k+1)^{2(1+\frac{2}{n})} \Big(1 + \tfrac{1}{\iota_{k+1}-\iota_k}\Big)^{1+\frac{2}{n}} H(p_k, p'_k, q_k, \iota_k)^{1+\frac{2}{n}} \\ &\leq C p_0^\beta \eta^{k\nu\left(\frac{\beta+2}{n+2}+1\right)} \Big(1 + t^{-1}\tfrac{\eta}{\eta-1}\Big)^\nu H_k^\nu\end{aligned}$$

Taking p_{k+1}-root on both sides of the above inequality, we have:

$$\Phi_{k+1} \leq C^{\frac{\nu^{-(k+1)}}{p_0}} p_0^{\beta\frac{\nu^{-(k+1)}}{p_0}} \eta^{\left(\frac{\beta+2}{n+2}+1\right)\frac{k\nu^{-k}}{p_0}} \Big(1 + t^{-1}\frac{\eta}{\eta-1}\Big)^{\frac{\nu^{-k}}{p_0}} \Phi_k$$

By induction, we get

$$\Phi_{k+1} \leq C^{\frac{\sigma_{k+1}-1}{p_0}} p_0^{\beta\frac{(\sigma_{k+1}-1)}{p_0}} \eta^{\left(\frac{\beta+2}{n+2}+1\right)\frac{\sigma'_k}{p_0}} \Big(1 + t^{-1}\frac{\eta}{\eta-1}\Big)^{\frac{\sigma_k}{p_0}} \Phi_0$$

where $\sigma_k = \sum_{i=0}^k \nu^{-i}$ and $\sigma'_k = \sum_{i=0}^k i\nu^{-i}$.

Then we have

$$|\xi_i^{\frac{n}{p_0}}\chi^2 f(x,t)| \leq \lim_{k\to\infty} \Phi_{k+1} \leq C(1+t^{-1})^{\frac{n+2}{2p_0}} \Big(\int_0^{t_0} \fint_{B_i\cap\Omega} \xi_i^{n-2}\chi^{2p_0-n} f^{p_0}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \tag{3.16}$$

Let $t_0 \to t$ in (3.16) and using (3.4), we get

$$|\xi_i^{\frac{n}{p_0}}\chi^2 f(x,t)| \leq C(1+t^{-1})^{\frac{n+2}{2p_0}}\Big(\int_0^t ⨍_{B_i\cap\Omega}\xi_i^{n-2}\chi^{2p_0-n}f^{p_0}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2p_0}}$$

So we get

$$|\xi_i^2\chi^2 f| \leq |\xi_i^{\frac{n}{p_0}}\chi^2 f| \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2p_0}}$$

Now for any $x \in \Omega$, we assume $x \in B_{i_0}\cap\Omega$, then we have

$$|\chi^2 f|(x,t) \quad = |\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^N \xi_j^2\chi^2 f(x,t)| \leq NCt^{-\frac{n}{2p_0}} \leq C_2 t^{-\frac{n}{2p_0}}$$

Replace f with $|Rm|$, this is our conclusion. □

Straightforward from Lemma 3.3, we have the following two corollaries.

Corollary 3.4. *If* $0 < t < T_{\max} < 1$, *then there exists some positive constant* $C_3(A_0, K_1, n, p_0, r)$, *such that*

$$|\chi^2 Rc(g(t))| \leq C_3 t^{-\frac{n}{2p_0}}$$

Corollary 3.5. *If* $0 \leq t < T_{\max} < 1$, *then there exists some positive constant* C, *which is independent of* t, *such that*

$$|\chi^2 Rm(g(t))| \leq C$$

3.2. **The Second Case: Scale-invariant exponent** $p_0 = \frac{n}{2}$.

In this subsection, we assume (M, g_0) satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.6, namely inequalities (1.6), and $N = 8^n N_0^{-2}$.

Similar argument like the beginning of the subsection 3.1, we assume $[0, T_{\max})$ be a maximal time interval on which (2.1) has a smooth solution and such that the following hold for each metric $g(t)$ (where $g(0) = g_0$):

$$\Big(\int_{\tilde{B}_x(r)} h^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dV_{g(t)}\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq 4A_0\int_{\tilde{B}_x(r)}|\nabla h|^2 dV_{g(t)}\ ; \tag{3.17}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}g_0 \leq g(t) \leq 2g_0\ ; \tag{3.18}$$

$$\Big(\int_{B_i\cap\Omega}|Rm(g(t))|^{\frac{n}{2}}dV_{g(t)}\Big)^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq (2N)^{\frac{2}{n}}(\tau n A_0)^{-1}. \tag{3.19}$$

for any $x \in M$, $h \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{B}_x(r))$ and $i = 1, 2, \cdots$.

We have the following similar estimate as Lemma 3.2, but the coefficents of the gradient integral are quite different. The difference is partially from the different estimates of I_4 in these two lemmas.

Lemma 3.6. *If* $0 \leq t < T_{\max}$, *then for any* i, $q \geq 1$, $p \geq \frac{n}{2}$, $p' \geq 0$ *and* $p \geq p'$, *there exists* $\tilde{C}_1(n, N_0, r) > 0$ *such that we have the following:*

$$\begin{aligned}&\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\int_\Omega \xi_i^{2q}\chi^{2p'}|Rm|^p dV_{g(t)}\Big) + \theta\Big(\int_\Omega \Big|\nabla(\xi_i^q\chi^{p'+1}|Rm|^{\frac{p}{2}})\Big|^2 dV_{g(t)}\Big)\\ &\leq \tfrac{1}{\epsilon}\tilde{C}_1(n, N_0, r)p^3q^2\Big(\int_{B_i\cap\Omega}\xi_i^{2q-2}\chi^{2p'+2}|Rm|^p dV_{g(t)}\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where

$$\theta = \Big(\frac{4(p-1-4\epsilon)}{(1+4\epsilon)p}\Big) - 48pA_0\|Rm\|_{\frac{n}{2},B_i\cap\Omega} \tag{3.21}$$

and $\|Rm\|_{\frac{n}{2},B_i\cap\Omega} = \Big(\int_{B_i\cap\Omega}|Rm(x,t)|^{\frac{n}{2}}dV_{g(t)}\Big)^{\frac{2}{n}}$, ϵ *is any positive constant satisfying* $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{8}$.

Proof: Set $f(x,t) = |Rm(x)|_{g(t)}$. Using Lemma 2.2 and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(dV_{g(t)}) = \frac{1}{2}[g^{ij}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_{ij}] = -\chi^2 R$, we could get the following:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\int_\Omega \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'}f^p\Big) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{6} I_k \tag{3.22}$$

where

$$I_1 = p\int \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'+2}f^{p-1}\Delta f, \quad I_2 = p\int \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'+2}f^{p-2}|\nabla f|^2$$

$$I_3 = -(1-\epsilon)p\int \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'+2}f^{p-2}|\nabla Rm|^2, \quad I_4 = 10p\int \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'+2}f^{p+1} - \int \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'+2}f^pR$$

$$I_5 = (\frac{10^5}{\epsilon})np\int \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'}|\nabla\chi|^2f^p, \quad I_6 = 8p\int \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'+1}f^{p-2}g^{ri}g^{sj}g^{pk}g^{ql}R_{rspq}\chi_{il}R_{jk}$$

For simplification, we set the following notations:

$$\tilde{a} = \xi^q\chi^{p'}f^{\frac{p}{2}}|\nabla\chi|; \quad \tilde{b} = \xi^q\chi^{p'+1}f^{\frac{p}{2}-1}|\nabla f|;$$
$$\tilde{c} = \xi^q\chi^{p'+1}f^{\frac{p}{2}-1}|\nabla Rm|; \quad \tilde{d} = \int\Big|\nabla(\xi^q\chi^{p'+1}f^{\frac{p}{2}})\Big|^2; \quad \tilde{e} = \xi^{q-1}\chi^{p'+1}f^{\frac{p}{2}}|\nabla\xi|$$

Note that $|R| \leq n|Rm| = 2pf$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &\leq 12p\int \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'+2}f^{p+1} \leq 12p\|f\|_{\frac{n}{2},B_i\cap\Omega}\cdot 4A_0\cdot\int\Big|\nabla(\xi^q\chi^{p'+1}f^{\frac{p}{2}})\Big|^2 \\ &\leq 48pA_0\|f\|_{\frac{n}{2},B_i\cap\Omega}\cdot\tilde{d} \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\int_\Omega \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'}f^p\Big) &\leq p(1+4\epsilon-p)\int\tilde{b}^2 + 48pA_0\|f\|_{\frac{n}{2},B_i\cap\Omega}\cdot\tilde{d} \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\epsilon}10^6n^3p^3q^2\Big(\int\tilde{a}^2 + \int\tilde{e}^2\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\int\xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'}f^p\Big) + \theta\tilde{d} \leq \frac{4}{\epsilon}10^6n^3p^3q^2\int(\tilde{a}^2+\tilde{e}^2) \\ &\leq \frac{8}{\epsilon}10^6n^3p^3q^2\Big(\int_\Omega\xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'}|Rm|^pdV_{g(t)} + \int_\Omega\xi^{2q-2}\chi^{2p'+2}|Rm|^p|\nabla\xi|^2dV_{g(t)}\Big) \end{aligned}$$

where θ is defined in (3.21). Replace f with $|Rm|$, using $|\tilde{\nabla}\xi_i|^2 \leq C(r,N)$, $0 \leq \xi_i \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, the lemma is proved.

□

We also have another technical lemma about $|Rm|$ to be used in the proof of Lemma 3.8:

Lemma 3.7. *If* $0 < t < T_{\max} < 1$, *then we have the following inequality:*

$$\int\xi_i^4\chi^2|Rm(x)|^{1+\frac{n}{2}}dV_{g(t)} \leq C(n,N_0,\tau,r)A_0^{-\frac{n}{2}}t^{-1}, \quad \forall i \tag{3.24}$$

Proof: Set $f(x,t) = |Rm(x)|_{g(t)}$. Given any t_1 and t_2 such that $0 < t_1 < t_2 < T_{\max}$, set

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \le t \le t_1 \\ \frac{t-t_1}{t_2-t_1} & t_1 \le t \le t_2 \\ 1 & t_2 \le t < T_{\max} \end{cases} \tag{3.25}$$

In Lemma 3.6, let $q = 1$, $p' = 0$, $p = \frac{n}{2}$, $\epsilon = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}$, where ϵ_0 is defined in (4.8), plug the above values into (3.21) we get $\theta = \theta(n, N_0, \tau) > 0$, then we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\int_\Omega \xi^2 f^{\frac{n}{2}} dV_{g(t)}\Big) + \theta \int_\Omega |\nabla(\xi\chi f^{\frac{n}{4}})|^2 dV_{g(t)} \le \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} C(n, N_0, r)\Big(\int_{B_i\cap\Omega} f^{\frac{n}{2}} dV_{g(t)}\Big)$$

Multiplying the above by ψ, integrate it from 0 to t_0 with respect to t, where t_0 satisfies $t_2 \le t_0 < T_{\max}$, then let $t_1 = \frac{t_0}{4}$, $t_2 = \frac{t_0}{2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\frac{t_0}{2}}^{t_0} \int_\Omega |\nabla(\xi\chi f^{\frac{n}{4}})|^2 &\le C(n, N_0, r, \tau)\Big(1 + \frac{1}{t_0}\Big) \int_{\frac{t_0}{4}}^{t_0} \int_{B_i\cap\Omega} f^{\frac{n}{2}} \\ &\le C(n, N_0, r, \tau) A_0^{-\frac{n}{2}}\Big(1 + \frac{1}{t_0}\Big) t_0 \end{aligned}$$

Again in Lemma 3.6, let $q = 2$, $p' = 1$, $p = 1 + \frac{n}{2}$, choose suitable $\epsilon = \epsilon(n, N_0, \tau)$ such that $\theta \ge 0$, then we have the following:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\int_\Omega \xi^4 \chi^2 f^{1+\frac{n}{2}} dV_{g(t)}\Big) \le C(n, N_0, r, \tau)\Big(\int_\Omega \xi^2 \chi^2 f^{1+\frac{n}{2}} dV_{g(t)}\Big)$$

Multiplying the above by ψ, integrate it from 0 to t_0 with respect to t, where t_0 satisfies $t_2 \le t_0 < T_{\max}$, then let $t_1 = \frac{t_0}{2}$, $t_2 = t_0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega \xi^4 \chi^2 f^{1+\frac{n}{2}} dV_{g(t_0)} \le C(n, N_0, r, \tau)(1 + \tfrac{1}{t_0})\Big(\int_{\frac{t_0}{2}}^{t_0} \int_\Omega \xi^2 \chi^2 f^{1+\frac{n}{2}}\Big) \\ &\quad \le C(n, N_0, r, \tau)(1 + \tfrac{1}{t_0})\Big(\sup_{\frac{t_0}{2} \le t \le t_0} |f(t)|_{\frac{n}{2}, B_i\cap\Omega}\Big) A_0 \Big(\int_{\frac{t_0}{2}}^{t_0} \int_\Omega |\nabla(\xi\chi f^{\frac{n}{4}})|^2\Big) \\ &\quad C(n, N_0, r, \tau)\Big(1 + \tfrac{1}{t_0}\Big)^2 A_0^{-\frac{n}{2}} t_0 \end{aligned}$$

Because we choose t_0 freely, in fact we get the following inequality for any $0 < t < T_{\max} < 1$:

$$\int_\Omega \xi^4 \chi^2 f^{1+\frac{n}{2}} dV_{g(t)} \le C(n, N_0, \tau, r) A_0^{-\frac{n}{2}} t^{-1}$$

Replace f with $|Rm|$, we get our conclusion. □

Next we have the following lemma about $|Rc|$, and the key estimate is for I_4 where we use Lemma 3.7.

Lemma 3.8. *If* $0 < t < T_{\max} < 1$, $p \ge \frac{n}{2}$, $p' \ge 0$ *and* $p \ge p'$, *then we have the following:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\int \xi_i^{2q} \chi^{2p'} |Rc|^p\Big) + \frac{1}{9}\Big(\int |\nabla(\xi_i^q \chi^{p'+1} |Rc|^{\frac{p}{2}})|^2\Big) \\ &\le C(n, N_0, \tau, r) p^{2+\frac{n}{2}} q^2 (1 + t^{-1})\Big(\int_{B_i\cap\Omega} \xi_i^{2(q-2)} \chi^{2p'} |Rc|^p\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

for any $q \ge 2$. *there exists* $\tilde{C}_2(n, N_0, r) > 0$ *such that we have the following:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\int_\Omega \xi_i^{2q} \chi^{2p'} |Rc|^p dV_{g(t)}\Big) + \theta\Big(\int_\Omega \Big|\nabla(\xi_i^q \chi^{p'+1} |Rc|^{\frac{p}{2}})\Big|^2 dV_{g(t)}\Big) \\ &\le \frac{1}{\epsilon} \tilde{C}_2(n, N_0, r) p^3 q^2 \Big(1 + \frac{1}{r^2}\Big)\Big(\int_{B_i\cap\Omega} \xi_i^{2(q-1)} \chi^{2p'+2} |Rc|^p\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

for any $q \geq 1$, *where* $\theta = \left[\frac{4(p-1-4\epsilon)}{(1+4\epsilon)p} - \frac{32pN}{\tau\sqrt{n}}\right]$.

Proof: Let $f(x,t) = |Rc(x)|_{g(t)}$. By Lemma 2.4, we get the following:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\int_\Omega \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'} f^p\Big) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{6} I_k \tag{3.28}$$

where

$$I_1 = p\int \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'+2} f^{p-1}\Delta f, \quad I_2 = p\int \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'+2} f^{p-2}|\nabla f|^2$$

$$I_3 = -(1-\epsilon)p\int \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'+2} f^{p-2}|\nabla Rc|^2, \quad I_4 = 2(1+\sqrt{n})p\int \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'+2} f^p|Rm|$$

$$I_5 = (\frac{10^5}{\epsilon})np\int \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'}|\nabla\chi|^2 f^p, \quad I_6 = p\int \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'} f^{p-2} g^{ik}g^{jl}R_{kl}J_2$$

where J_2 in I_6 comes from (2.14).

Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.6, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{a} = \xi^q\chi^{p'} f^{\frac{p}{2}}|\nabla\chi|\,; \quad \tilde{b} = \xi^q\chi^{p'+1} f^{\frac{p}{2}-1}|\nabla f| \\
&\tilde{c} = \xi^q\chi^{p'+1} f^{\frac{p}{2}-1}|\nabla Rc|\,; \quad \tilde{d} = \int\Big|\nabla(\xi^q\chi^{p'+1} f^{\frac{p}{2}})\Big|^2\,; \quad \tilde{e} = \xi^{q-1}\chi^{p'+1} f^{\frac{p}{2}}|\nabla\xi|.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we get the following

$$I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_5 + I_6 \leq \frac{4(1+4\epsilon-p)}{(1+4\epsilon)p}\tilde{d} + \frac{4}{\epsilon}10^6 n^3 p^3 q^2\Big(\int \tilde{a}^2 + \int \tilde{e}^2\Big) \tag{3.29}$$

Finally, for I_4, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 &\leq 2(1+\sqrt{n})p\Big[\int_\Omega (\xi^{\frac{8}{n+2}}\chi^{\frac{4}{n+2}}|Rm|)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}\Big]^{\frac{2}{n+2}} \\
&\quad \cdot\Big[\int_{B_i\cap\Omega}(\xi^{\frac{4(q-2)}{n+2}}\chi^{\frac{4p'}{n+2}} f^{\frac{2p}{n+2}})^{\frac{n+2}{2}}\Big]^{\frac{2}{n+2}} \cdot \Big[\int_\Omega (\xi^{\frac{2qn}{n+2}}\chi^{\frac{(2p'+2)n}{n+2}} f^{\frac{pn}{n+2}})^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}\Big]^{\frac{n-2}{n+2}} \\
&= 2(1+\sqrt{n})p\Big(\int_\Omega \xi^4\chi^2|Rm|^{1+\frac{n}{2}}\Big)^{\frac{2}{n+2}} \cdot \Big(\int_{B_i\cap\Omega}\xi^{2(q-2)}\chi^{2p'} f^p\Big)^{\frac{2}{n+2}} \\
&\quad \cdot\Big[\int_\Omega (\xi^q\chi^{p'+1} f^{\frac{p}{2}})^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\Big]^{\frac{n-2}{n+2}} \\
&\leq \hat{a}\cdot\hat{b}
\end{aligned}$$

where we use Lemma 3.7 and the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{a} &= \Big[C(n,N_0,\tau,r)pt^{-\frac{2}{n+2}}\Big(\int_{B_i\cap\Omega}\xi^{2(q-2)}\chi^{2p'} f^p\Big)^{\frac{2}{n+2}}\Big] \\
\hat{b} &= \Big(\int|\nabla(\xi^q\chi^{p'+1} f^{\frac{p}{2}})|^2\Big)^{\frac{n}{n+2}} \\
s &= \tfrac{n+2}{2}, \quad s' = \tfrac{n+2}{n}, \quad \tfrac{1}{s} + \tfrac{1}{s'} = 1
\end{aligned}$$

For any $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{a}\hat{b} = (\hat{a}\delta^{-\frac{1}{ss'}})(\hat{b}\delta^{\frac{1}{ss'}}) &\leq \tfrac{1}{s}(\hat{a}\delta^{-\frac{1}{ss'}})^s + \tfrac{1}{s'}(\hat{b}\delta^{\frac{1}{ss'}})^{s'} \\
&\leq (\hat{a}\delta^{-\frac{1}{ss'}})^s + (\hat{b}\delta^{\frac{1}{ss'}})^{s'} = \hat{a}^s\delta^{-\frac{1}{s'}} + \hat{b}^{s'}\delta^{\frac{1}{s}}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\delta = k_0^{\frac{n+2}{2}}$, where k_0 is some positive constant which will be determined later.

Then we get

$$I_4 \leq \hat{a}\hat{b} \leq k_0^{-\frac{n}{2}} \cdot C(n, N_0, \tau, r) p^{\frac{n+2}{2}} t^{-1} \Big(\int_{B_i \cap \Omega} \xi^{2(q-2)} \chi^{2p'} f^p \Big) + k_0 \tilde{d} \tag{3.30}$$

Now we choose $\epsilon = \frac{1}{16}$, $k_0 = \frac{1}{9}$ in (3.29) and (3.30), by (3.28), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\int \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'} f^p \Big) + \tfrac{1}{9} \int |\nabla(\xi^q \chi^{p'+1} f^{\frac{p}{2}})|^2 \\
&\leq C(n, N_0, \tau, r)\Big[p^3 q^2 + p^{\frac{n+2}{2}} t^{-1} \Big] \cdot \Big(\int_{B_i \cap \Omega} \xi^{2(q-2)} \chi^{2p'} f^p \Big) \\
&\leq C(n, N_0, \tau, r) p^{2+\frac{n}{2}} q^2 (1 + t^{-1}) \cdot \Big(\int_{B_i \cap \Omega} \xi^{2(q-2)} \chi^{2p'} f^p \Big)
\end{aligned}$$

So (3.26) is proved.

Note for I_4, we have

$$I_4 \leq 2(1 + \sqrt{n}) p \int |Rm| \xi^{2q} \chi^{2p'+2} f^p \leq 8(1 + \sqrt{n}) p A_0 ||Rm||_{\frac{n}{2}, B_i \cap \Omega} \cdot \tilde{d} \leq \frac{32pN}{\tau \sqrt{n}} \tilde{d} \tag{3.31}$$

by (3.28), (3.29) and (3.31), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\int_\Omega \xi_i^{2q} \chi^{2p'} f^p dV_{g(t)} \Big) + \theta \Big(\int_\Omega \Big| \nabla(\xi_i^q \chi^{p'+1} f^{\frac{p}{2}}) \Big|^2 dV_{g(t)} \Big) \\
&\leq \tfrac{4}{\epsilon} 10^6 n^3 p^3 q^2 \Big(|\nabla \chi|_\infty^2 \int_\Omega \xi_i^{2q} \chi^{2p'} f^p + \int_{B_i \cap \Omega} \xi_i^{2(q-1)} \chi^{2p'+2} f^p |\nabla \xi_i|^2 \Big)
\end{aligned}$$

Replace f by $|Rc|$ and simplify it, we get our second conclusion. □

We set

$$\epsilon_1 = \frac{(p_1 - 1)\tau \sqrt{n} - 8p_1^2 N}{32 p_1^2 N + 4\tau \sqrt{n}} > 0$$

where we use $\tau > \frac{16 p_1 N}{\sqrt{n}}$.

Choose $\tilde{T}_1 > 0$ such that

$$\exp\big(\frac{1}{\epsilon_1} \tilde{C}_2 p_1^3 N \tilde{T}_1 \big) = \frac{3}{2}$$

Now we set $\tilde{T}_2$ as the following:

$$\tilde{T}_2 = \min\{\tilde{T}_1, 1\}$$

Corollary 3.9. *If $0 \leq t < T_{\max} < \tilde{T}_2$, then*

$$\Big(\int_{B_i \cap \Omega} |Rc|^{p_1} dV_{g(t)} \Big)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \leq \Big(\frac{3}{2} N \Big)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} K_1 \ , \quad \forall i \tag{3.32}$$

Proof: Set $f = |Rc|$, choose $q = 1$, $p' = 0$, $p = p_1$ and $\epsilon = \epsilon_1$ in (3.27), then it is easy to check that $\theta \geq 0$ by the definition of θ in Lemma 3.8. Then we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_\Omega \xi_i^2 |Rc|^{p_1} \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} \tilde{C}_2 p_1^3 \Big(\int_{B_i \cap \Omega} |Rc|^{p_1} \Big)$$

Define $\phi(t) = \sup_{B_i \in Cov(\Omega)} \int_{B_i \cap \Omega} \xi_i^2 |Rc|^{p_1} dV_{g(t)}$, then we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi(t) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} \tilde{C}_2 p_1^3 N \phi(t)$$

Then

$$\phi(t) \leq \exp\big(\tfrac{1}{\epsilon_1}\tilde{C}_2 p_1^3 N t\big)\phi(0) \leq \exp\big(\tfrac{1}{\epsilon_1}\tilde{C}_2 p_1^3 N T_2\big)K_1^{p_1} \leq \tfrac{3}{2}K_1^{p_1}$$

Now we have

$$\int_{B_i\cap\Omega} |Rc|^{p_1} dV_{g(t)} \leq N\phi(t) \leq \Big(\frac{3}{2}N\Big)K_1^{p_1}$$

We get our conclusion. ☐

Now we use Moser iteration to get local C^0-estimate $|\chi^2 Rc(g(t))|$.

Lemma 3.10. *If* $0 < t < T_{\max} < \tilde{T}_2$*, then there exists some constant* $\tilde{C}_3(n, N_0, \tau, p_1, r) > 0$*, such that*

$$|\chi^2 Rc(g(t))| \leq \tilde{C}_3 A_0^{\frac{n}{2p_1}} K_1 t^{-\frac{n}{2p_1}} \tag{3.33}$$

Proof: Let $f(x,t) = |Rc(x)|_{g(t)}$. By (3.26), similar argument as Lemma 3.3, but we denote

$$H(p, p', q, t) = \int_t^{t_0}\int_{B_i\cap\Omega} \xi^{2q}\chi^{2p'} f^p$$

And denote $\nu = 1 + \frac{2}{n}$, $\eta = \nu^{n+2}$, fixed $t \in (0, t_0)$, set

$$\begin{aligned}
&s_k = p_1\nu^k\ , s_0 = p_1 \quad p'_k = s_k - \tfrac{n}{2}\ , \quad p'_0 = p_1 - \tfrac{n}{2}\ ,\\
&q_k = 2n\nu^k - (n+2)\ , \quad q_0 = n-2\ , \quad \iota_k = t(1-\eta^{-k})\ ,\\
&H_k = H(s_k, p'_k, q_k, \iota_k)\ , \quad \Phi_k = H_k^{\frac{1}{s_k}}
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\Phi_0 = \Big(\int_0^{t_0}\int \xi^{n-2}\chi^{2p_1-n} f^{p_1}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p_1}}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\xi_i^{\frac{2n}{p_1}}\chi^2 f(x,t)| &\leq \lim_{k\to\infty}\Phi_{k+1}\\
&\leq C(n, N, \tau, r, p_1)A_0^{\frac{n}{2p_1}}(1+t^{-1})^{\frac{n+2}{2p_1}}\Big(\int_0^{t_0}\int_{B_i\cap\Omega}\xi_i^{2(n-2)}\chi^{2p_1-n}f^{p_1}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p_1}}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Let $t_0 \to t$ in (3.34) and using Corollary 3.9, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\xi_i^{\frac{2n}{p_1}}\chi^2 f(x,t)| &\leq C(n, N, \tau, r, p_1)A_0^{\frac{n}{2p_1}}(1+t^{-1})^{\frac{n+2}{2p_1}}\Big(\int_0^{t}\int_{B_i\cap\Omega}\xi_i^{2(n-2)}\chi^{2p_1-n}f^{p_1}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p_1}}\\
&\leq C(n, N, \tau, r, p_1)A_0^{\frac{n}{2p_1}}K_1 t^{-\frac{n}{2p_1}}
\end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$|\xi_i^4\chi^2 f| \leq |\xi_i^{\frac{2n}{p_1}}\chi^2 f| \leq C(n, N, \tau, r, p_1)A_0^{\frac{n}{2p_1}}K_1 t^{-\frac{n}{2p_1}}$$

Now for any $x \in \Omega$, we assume $x \in B_{i_0}\cap\Omega$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\chi^2 f|(x,t) &= |\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^N \xi_j^2\chi^2 f(x,t)| = |(\sum_{j=1}^N \xi_j^2)^2\chi^2 f|\\
&\leq |N\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^N \xi_j^4\chi^2 f| \leq N^2 C(n, N, \tau, r, p_1)A_0^{\frac{n}{2p_1}}K_1 t^{-\frac{n}{2p_1}}\\
&\leq \tilde{C}_3(n, N_0, \tau, p_1, r)A_0^{\frac{n}{2p_1}}K_1 t^{-\frac{n}{2p_1}}
\end{aligned}$$

Replace f with $|Rc|$, this is our conclusion. ☐

Lemma 3.11. *If* $0 \leq t < T_{\max} < 1$, *then for* $q \geq 2$, $p \geq \frac{n}{2}$, $p' \geq 0$ *and* $p \geq p'$, *we have the following:*

$$\begin{aligned}&\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\int \xi_i^{2q}\chi^{2p'}|Rm|^p\Big) + \tfrac{1}{9}\Big(\int |\nabla(\xi_i^q\chi^{p'+1}|Rm|^{\frac{p}{2}})|^2\Big)\\ &\leq C(n, N_0, \tau, r)p^{2+\frac{n}{2}}q^2(1+t^{-1})\Big(\int_{B_i\cap\Omega}\xi_i^{2(q-2)}\chi^{2p'}|Rm|^p\Big)\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Similar to the proof of (3.26) in Lemma 3.8. □

Lemma 3.12. *If* $0 < t < T_{\max} < \tilde{T}_2$, *then there exists some positive constant* $\tilde{C}_4(n, N_0, \tau, r)$, *which is independent of t, such that*

$$|\chi^2 Rm(g(t))| \leq \tilde{C}_4 t^{-1}$$

Proof: Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.10, but replacing p_1 with $\frac{n}{2}$ and using Lemma 3.11, (3.19) instead of Lemma 3.8, Corollary 3.9. □

Corollary 3.13. *If* $0 \leq t < T_{\max} < \tilde{T}_2$, *then there exists some positive constant C, which is independent of t, such that*

$$|\chi^2 Rm(g(t))| \leq C$$

Proof: Straightforward from Lemma 3.12. □

4. Time estimates of the local Ricci flow

As in section 3, we consider two cases and assume that (M^n, g_0) satisfies the hypothesis of theorem 1.2 or theorem 1.6 respectively.

4.1. **The First Case:** $p_0 > \frac{n}{2}$**.** In Propositions 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4, we assume (M, g_0) satisfies (1.3). Choose $T_1 > 0$ such that

$$\exp^{\left(C_1 p_0^{\frac{n}{2p_0-n}+3} N T_1\right)} = \frac{3}{2}$$

where C_1 is from Lemma 3.2.

Proposition 4.1. *If* $0 \leq t < T_{\max} < T_1$, *then*

$$\Big(\fint_{B_i\cap\Omega}|Rm(g(t))|^{p_0}dV_{g(t)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \leq \Big(\frac{3}{2}N\Big)^{\frac{1}{p_0}}K_1, \qquad \forall i$$

Proof: Set $f(x,t) = |Rm(x)|_{g(t)}$. Let $q = 1$, $p' = 0$, $p = p_0$ in Lemma 3.2, so we have the following:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\fint_{\Omega}\xi_i^2 f^{p_0}dV_{g(t)}\Big) \leq C_1 p_0^{\frac{n}{2p_0-n}+3}\fint_{B_i\cap\Omega}f^{p_0}dV_{g(t)}$$

For Ω, define $Cov(\Omega) = \{B_i | B_i \cap \overline{\Omega} \neq \emptyset\}$, note that $Cov(\Omega)$ has only a finite number of elements. Now we define

$$\phi(t) = \sup_{B_i\in Cov(\Omega)}\Big[\fint_{B_i\cap\Omega}\xi_i^2|Rm|^{p_0}dV_{g(t)}\Big]$$

Assume $\phi(t_0) = \fint_{B_{i_0}\cap\Omega} \xi_{i_0}^2 |Rm|^{p_0} dV_{g(t_0)}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi(t_0) &\leq \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\fint_{\Omega} \xi_{i_0}^2 f^{p_0}\Big)(t_0) \leq C_1 p_0^{\frac{n}{2p_0-n}+3} \fint_{B_{i_0}\cap\Omega} f^{p_0} dV_{g(t_0)} \\ &\leq C_1 p_0^{\frac{n}{2p_0-n}+3} \sum_{j=1}^N \Big(\int_{B_{i_0}\cap\Omega} \xi_j^2 f^{p_0} dV_{g(t_0)}\Big) \leq C_1 p_0^{\frac{n}{2p_0-n}+3} N\phi(t_0) \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Because t_0 is choosed freely, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi(t) \leq \Big[C_1 p_0^{\frac{n}{2p_0-n}+3} N\Big]\phi(t) \tag{4.2}$$

Note by (1.5), $\phi(0) \leq \sup_{B_i} \fint_{B_i\cap\Omega} |Rm|^{p_0} dV_{g_0} \leq K_1^{p_0}$, then by the definition of T_1 and (4.2), we get

$$\phi(t) \leq \frac{3}{2} K_1^{p_0}$$

Now we have

$$\fint_{B_i\cap\Omega} |Rm|^{p_0} dV_{g(t)} = \sum_{j=1}^N \fint_{B_i\cap\Omega} \xi_j^2 f^{p_0} \leq N\phi(t) \leq \tfrac{3}{2} N K_1^{p_0}$$

So we get our conclusion. □

Set

$$T_2 = \min\Big\{T_1,\ 1,\ \Big(\frac{\ln 2}{2(n+1)C_3}\Big)^{\frac{2p_0}{2p_0-n}}\Big\}$$

where C_3 is from Corollary 3.4.

Proposition 4.2. *If* $0 \leq t < T_{\max} < T_2$, *then*

$$2^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} g_0 \leq g(t) \leq 2^{\frac{1}{n+1}} g_0 \tag{4.3}$$

Proof: Choose $0 \leq t_0 < T_{\max} < T_2$. then by Corollary 3.4, we have

$$\int_0^{t_0} |\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t} g| dt = \int_0^{t_0} |2\chi^2 Rc(g(t))| dt \leq \int_0^{t_0} 2C_3 t^{-\frac{n}{2p_0}} dt \leq 2C_3 T_2^{1-\frac{n}{2p_0}} \leq \tfrac{\ln 2}{n+1}$$

By Lemma 6.49 in [2], we get our conclusion. □

Proposition 4.3. *If* $0 \leq t < T_{\max} < T_2$, *then*

$$\Big(\fint_{\tilde{B}_x(r)} h^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dV_{g(t)}\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq 2A_0 r^2 \fint_{\tilde{B}_x(r)} |\nabla h|^2 dV_{g(t)}, \quad \forall h \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{B}_x(r)) \tag{4.4}$$

Proof: By Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 4.2, we get our conclusion. □

Proposition 4.4. *If* $T_{\max} < T_2$, *then on* $[0, T_{\max})$, *we have*

$$\begin{cases} \Big(\fint_{\tilde{B}_x(r)} h^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dV_{g(t)}\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} & \leq 2A_0 r^2 \fint_{\tilde{B}_x(r)} |\nabla h|^2 dV_{g(t)}, \\ 2^{-\frac{1}{4}} g_0 & \leq g(t) \leq 2^{\frac{1}{4}} g_0 \\ \Big(\fint_{\tilde{B}_x(r)} |Rm(g(t))|^{p_0} dV_{g(t)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} & \leq \Big(\frac{3}{2}N\Big)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} K_1 \\ |\chi^2 Rm(g(t))| & \leq C \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

for any $x \in M$ *and* $h \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{B}_x(r))$, *where C is independent of t.*

Proof: Combining Propositions 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and Corollary 3.5, we get our conclusion. □

Now we need to use the following two propositions due to Yuanqi Wang. Firstly, we define another Sobolev constant $C_s(\Omega, t)$ for $\Omega \subset (M, g(t))$ by the following

$$|h|_{C^0(\Omega)} \leq C_s(\Omega, t)\Big(\int_\Omega |\nabla h|^2 dV_{g(t)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall h \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$$

Remark 4.5. *Because Ω is bounded domain in (M, g_0), so by the proof of Theorem* 3.5 *in* [7], *we get $C_s(\Omega, 0)$ is finite. Then if $0 \leq t < T_{\max} < T_2$, by Proposition 4.4, we get $2^{-\frac{1}{4}}g_0 \leq g(t) \leq 2^{\frac{1}{4}}g_0$, so $C_s(\Omega, t)$ is uniformly bounded on $[0, T_{\max})$.*

Proposition 4.6 (Yuanqi Wang, [14])**.** *If $|\chi^2 Rm|_{(g(t),\infty)}$, $|\nabla\chi|_{(g(t),\infty)}$, $C_s(\Omega, t)$ are uniformly bounded on $[0, T)$, then for any $m \geq 0$, $|\nabla^m(\chi^2 Rm)|_{(g(t),\infty)}$ is uniformly bounded on $[0, T)$.*

Proof: Combining Lemmas 4.7–4.13 and Propositions 4.15–4.16 in [14], we get that for any $m \geq 0$, $|\nabla^m(\chi^2 Rm)|_{(g(t),\infty)}$ is uniformly bounded on $[0, T)$. □

Proposition 4.7 (Yuanqi Wang, [14])**.** *If $g(t)$, $t \in [0, T)$ is a solution to the local Ricci flow (2.1) on M, and for any $m \geq 0$, $|\nabla^m(\chi^2 Rm)|_{(g(t),\infty)}$ is uniformly bounded on $[0, T)$, then the local Ricci flow could extend smoothly through T.*

Proof: Use similar argument in section 7 of chapter 6 of [2], the difference is that $\nabla^m(\chi^2 Rm)$ play the key role in our local Ricci flow case instead of $\nabla^m Rm$ in Ricci flow case. □

Now we have the following theorem which has its root in Theorem 9.2 of [15].

Theorem 4.8. *Assume (M, g_0) is a n-dimensional $(n \geq 3)$ complete noncompact Riemannian manifold, which satisfies (1.3). Then there exists some positive constant $T = T(A_0, K_1, n, p_0, r)$, such that (2.1) has a smooth solution on $[0, T]$. Moreover, for $t \in [0, T]$, the metric satisfies (3.3) and the curvature tensors satisfy the following bounds:*

$$|\chi^2 Rc(g(t))| \leq C(A_0, K_1, n, p_0, r)t^{-\frac{n}{2p_0}} \tag{4.6}$$

$$|\chi^2 Rm(g(t))| \leq C(A_0, K_1, n, p_0, r)t^{-\frac{n}{2p_0}} \tag{4.7}$$

Proof: Firstly, by Theorem 6.7 and DeTurck's trick, the equation (2.1) has a smooth solution on a sufficiently small time interval starting at $t = 0$. Let $[0, T_{\max})$ be a maximal time interval on which (2.1) has a smooth solution and such that (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) hold for each metric $g(t)$.

Choose $T = T(A_0, K_1, n, p_0, r) = \frac{T_2}{2} > 0$. We claim that $T_{\max} \geq T$, we prove it by contradiction.

If $T_{\max} < T$, then $T_{\max} < T_2$. By Proposition 4.4 and Remark 4.5, we get $|\chi^2 Rm|_{(g(t),\infty)}$, $|\nabla\chi|_{(g(t),\infty)}$, $C_s(\Omega, t)$ are uniformly bounded on $[0, T_{\max})$.

Then by Proposition 4.6, we get that for any $m \geq 0$, $|\nabla^m(\chi^2 Rm)|_{(g(t),\infty)}$ is uniformly bounded on $[0, T)$. Now using Proposition 4.7, we could extend the solution of (2.1) to $[0, T_{\max} + \delta)$, where $\delta > 0$ is some constant.

By (4.5), we could furthermore assume that (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) hold on $[0, T_{\max} + \delta)$, so it is the contradiction with the definition of $T_{\max}$. Then we have $T_{\max} \geq T$, and (2.1) has a smooth solution on $[0, T]$. We prove the first conclusion and $g(t)$ satisfies (3.3).

Note $T < T_2$, then by Corollary 3.4, Lemma 3.3 and $T_2 \leq 1$, we get (4.6), (4.7). □

4.2. **The Second Case: $p_0 = \frac{n}{2}$.** In this subsection, we assume that (M, g_0) satisfies the inequalities (1.6). Now we set

$$\epsilon_0 = \frac{\tau(n-2) - 12Nn}{8(\tau + 6Nn)} > 0 \tag{4.8}$$

where we use $\tau > 36N$ and N is the same as in Theorem 1.6.

Choose $\tilde{T}_3 > 0$ such that

$$\exp^{\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_0}\tilde{C}_1 n^3 N \tilde{T}_3\right)} = \frac{3}{2}$$

where $\tilde{C}_1$ is from Lemma 3.6.

$$\tilde{T}_4 = \min\left\{\tilde{T}_2,\ \tilde{T}_3,\ \left(\frac{\ln 2}{2(n+1)\tilde{C}_3(n, N_0, \tau, p_1, r)}\right)^{\frac{2p_1}{2p_1-n}} A_0^{-\frac{n}{2p_1-n}} K_1^{-\frac{2p_1}{2p_1-n}}\right\}$$

where $\tilde{C}_3$ is from Lemma 3.10.

Similar argument as in Propositions 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4, we get:

Proposition 4.9. *If $T_{\max} < \tilde{T}_4$, then on $[0, T_{\max})$, we have*

$$\begin{cases} \left(\int_{\tilde{B}_x(r)} h^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dV_{g(t)}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} & \leq 2A_0 \int_{\tilde{B}_x(r)} |\nabla h|^2 dV_{g(t)}, \\ 2^{-\frac{1}{4}} g_0 & \leq g(t) \leq 2^{\frac{1}{4}} g_0 \\ \left(\int_{\tilde{B}_x(r)} |Rm(g(t))|^{\frac{n}{2}} dV_{g(t)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} & \leq \left(\frac{3}{2}N\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} (\tau n A_0)^{-1} \\ |\chi^2 Rm(g(t))| & \leq C \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

for any $x \in M$ and $h \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{B}_x(r))$, where C is independent of t.

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.8, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 4.10. *Assume (M, g_0) is a n-dimensional $(n \geq 3)$ complete noncompact Riemannian manifold, which satisfies (1.6). Then there exists positive constant $C(n, N_0, \tau, p_1, r)$, such that (2.1) has a smooth solution on $[0, T]$, where*

$$T = C(n, N_0, \tau, p_1, r) \min\left\{1,\ A_0^{-\frac{n}{2p_1-n}} K_1^{-\frac{2p_1}{2p_1-n}}\right\} \tag{4.10}$$

Moreover, for $t \in [0, T]$, the metric satisfies (3.3) and the curvature tensors satisfy the following bounds:

$$|\chi^2 Rc(g(t))| \leq \tilde{C}(n, N_0, \tau, p_1, r) A_0^{\frac{n}{2p_1}} K_1 t^{-\frac{n}{2p_1}} \tag{4.11}$$

$$|\chi^2 Rm(g(t))| \leq C(n, N_0, \tau, r) t^{-1} \tag{4.12}$$

Proof: Similar argument to the proof of Theorem 4.8. □

Remark 4.11. *Note the difference of the power of t between (4.6), (4.7) and (4.11), (4.12).*

5. **Short time existence of the Ricci flow**

In this section, we use the family of the local Ricci flows to converge to the Ricci flow on a noncompact manifold M, then we get the short time existence of the Ricci flow on M. At many points in this section, we will take a subsequence; to simplify notation, at each stage a sequence such as $\{\chi_k\}$ will be re-indexed to continue to be $\{\chi_k\}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Choose

$$\Omega_i = \{x | d_{g_0}(x, \mathbf{O}) \leq 4^i, \ x \in M\} \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots$$

where $\mathbf{O}$ is some fixed point in M. Constructing χ_i, which is smooth cutoff function on M, such that

$$\chi_{i+1}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in \Omega_i \\ 0 & x \in M \backslash \Omega_{i+1} \end{cases}$$

and

$$0 \leq \chi_i \leq 1, \quad \|\nabla \chi_i\|_\infty \leq 1, \quad \lim_{i \to \infty} \chi_i(x) = 1 \tag{5.1}$$

Now by (5.1), we could choose T as in Theorem 4.8 and note such T is independent of χ_i. For each k, let $g_k(t)$ be the solution of the following local Ricci flow:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_k & = -2\chi_k^2 Rc, \quad x \in M \\ g_k(x, 0) & = g_0(x), \quad x \in M \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

Then for any $0 < t_1 < T$, any i, we have

$$\|\nabla_k^m Rm_k(x, t_1)\|_{(g_k(t_1), \infty)} \leq C(m, \Omega_i, t_1), \quad x \in \Omega_i, \quad k \leq i \tag{5.3}$$

where ∇_k and Rm_k are with respect to $g_k(t)$. For simplicity reason, we shall use the notation $|\nabla_k^m Rm_k(x, t_1)|_\infty$ instead of $\|\nabla_k^m Rm_k(x, t_1)\|_{(g_k(t_1), \infty)}$. If $k > i$, then on Ω_i, g_k satisfies (1.2) which is the Ricci flow. From (4.7) we know

$$|\chi_k^2 Rm_k(x, t_1)|_\infty \leq C(A_0, K_1, n, p_0, r) t_1^{-\frac{n}{2p_0}}, \quad x \in M, \quad \forall k \tag{5.4}$$

Note $C(A_0, K_1, n, p_0, r)$ in (5.4) is independent of k. So we get

$$|Rm_k(x, t_1)|_\infty \leq C(A_0, K_1, n, p_0, r) t_1^{-\frac{n}{2p_0}}, \quad x \in \Omega_i, \ k > i \tag{5.5}$$

Then by Theorem 14.14 in [4], we have

$$|\nabla_k^m Rm_k(x, t_1)|_\infty \leq C(A_0, K_1, n, m, p_0, r, t_1, \Omega_i) t_1^{-\frac{m}{2}} = C(A_0, K_1, n, m, p_0, r, t_1, \Omega_i) \tag{5.6}$$

for any $x \in \Omega_i$ and $k > i$.

By (5.3) and (5.6), we get

$$|\nabla_k^m Rm_k(x, t_1)|_\infty \leq C(A_0, K_1, n, m, p_0, r, t_1, \Omega_i) \quad x \in \Omega_i, \ \forall k \geq 0 \tag{5.7}$$

Note $|\nabla_k^m Rm_k(x, t_1)|_\infty$ are bounded independent of k.

When $t \in [0, T]$, by Theorem 4.8 we know

$$\frac{1}{2} g_0 \leq g_k(t) \leq 2 g_0, \tag{5.8}$$

so we get

$$C_1 \sqrt{\det g_0} \leq \sqrt{\det g_k(t_1)} \leq C_2 \sqrt{\det g_0}$$

By Lemma 5.2 of [15], we get that there exists some $\tau_0 > 0$, such that

$$inj_{g_k(t_1)}(\mathbf{O}) \geq \tau_0 \tag{5.9}$$

By (5.7) and (5.9), Theorem 3.9 in [3] applies for $(\Omega_i, g_k(t_1), \mathbf{O})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ too, we get

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} g_k(x, t_1) = g_\infty(x, t_1)$$

where the limit is in C^∞ sense on Ω_i. So we have

$$|\widehat{\nabla}^m g_k(x, t_1)|_\infty \triangleq |\nabla^m_{g_0} g_k(x, t_1)|_\infty \leq C(m, \Omega_i) \quad x \in \Omega_i \tag{5.10}$$

where $C(m, \Omega_i)$ is independent of k.

And we also know from Theorem 14.14 in [4], if $k > i$,

$$|\nabla^m_k Rm_k(x, t)|_\infty \leq C(A_0, K_1, n, m, p_0, r, t_1, \Omega_i)\ , \quad (x, t) \in \Omega_i \times [t_1, T] \tag{5.11}$$

If $k \leq i$, it is easy to get (5.11) is also true. So we get for any k, (5.11) is true, where $C(A_0, K_1, n, m, p_0, r, t_1, \Omega_i)$ is independent of k.

Then by (5.8), (5.10), (5.11) and Lemma 3.11 in [3], we get

$$\left|\frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q}\widehat{\nabla}^m g_k(x, t)\right|_\infty \leq C(A_0, K_1, n, m, q, p_0, r, t_1, \Omega_i), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega_i \times [t_1, T], \quad \forall k \geq 0$$

Now by Corollary 3.15 in [3], we get

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} g_k(x, t) = g_\infty(x, t) \quad (x, t) \in \Omega_i \times [t_1, T]$$

where the limit is in C^∞ sense. Because i and t_1 are arbitrary, by the diagonal method, we get

$$g(x, t) \triangleq g_\infty(x, t) = \lim_{k\to\infty} g_k(x, t) \quad (x, t) \in M \times (0, T] \tag{5.12}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\lim_{t\to 0} (g(x, t) - g_0(x))| &= |\lim_{t\to 0} \lim_{k\to\infty} (g_k(x, t) - g_k(x, 0))| \\ &= |\lim_{t\to 0} \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_0^t \tfrac{\partial}{\partial s} g_k(x, s) ds| \\ &\leq \lim_{t\to 0} \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_0^t |2\chi_k^2 Rc_k|_\infty \leq C \lim_{t\to 0} t^{1-\frac{n}{2p_0}} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality above, we used (4.6).

So, we could define

$$g(x, 0) = g_0(x) \quad x \in M \tag{5.13}$$

Then by (5.2), (5.12) and (5.13), we get $g(t)$ is the solution of the Ricci flow (1.2) on $M \times [0, T]$. This is the first conclusion of theorem 1.2; it remains to prove the estimate (1.4).

Now by (5.5) and (5.12), we get

$$|Rm_g(x,t_1)|_\infty \le \lim_{k\to\infty} |Rm_k(x,t_1)|_\infty \le C(A_0,K_1,n,p_0,r)t_1^{-\frac{n}{2p_0}}$$

for any $x \in M$ and $t_1 \in (0,T]$.

If we look at $(M, g(\frac{t_1}{2}))$ as the initial conditions of the Ricci flow, we have the Ricci flow on $M \times [\frac{t_1}{2}, T]$ and

$$\left|Rm_{g(\frac{t_1}{2})}\right|_\infty \le C(A_0,K_1,n,p_0,r)t_1^{-\frac{n}{2p_0}} \tag{5.14}$$

Now we define

$$\tilde{g}(x,t) = \Big[C(A_0,K_1,n,p_0,r)t_1^{-\frac{n}{2p_0}}\Big] g\Big(x, \frac{t_1}{2} + t\Big[C(A_0,K_1,n,p_0,r)t_1^{-\frac{n}{2p_0}}\Big]^{-1}\Big) \tag{5.15}$$

where $C(A_0,K_1,n,p_0,r)$ in (5.15) is the same as in (5.14). Then from scaling argument we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\tilde{g}_{ij}(x,t) &= -2\tilde{R}_{ij}(x,t) \\ \tilde{g}(x,0) &= \Big[C(A_0,K_1,n,p_0,r)t_1^{-\frac{n}{2p_0}}\Big] g(x, \tfrac{t_1}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

We already know that g_{ij} and its Ricci flow exists on $[\frac{t_1}{2}, T]$, so $\tilde{g}_{ij}$ and its Ricci flow exists on $\Big[0, (T-\frac{t_1}{2})C(A_0,K_1,n,p_0,r)t_1^{-\frac{n}{2p_0}}\Big]$. We use $\{\tilde{R}_{ijkl}(x)\}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ to denote, repectively, the Riemannian curvature tensor and the covariant derivative with respect to $\tilde{g}_{ij}(x,0)$. Note $|\widetilde{Rm}| \le 1$ on M, by Lemma 7.1 of [12], there exists a positive constant $C(n,m)$, such that

$$|\tilde{\nabla}^m \widetilde{Rm}(x,t)|^2 \le \frac{C(n,m)}{t^m}; \quad 0 < t \le \Big[0, (T - \frac{t_1}{2})C(A_0,K_1,n,p_0,r)t_1^{-\frac{n}{2p_0}}\Big], \ m \ge 0 \tag{5.16}$$

by (5.15) and (5.16), we get

$$|\nabla^m Rm(x,t)|^2 \le \frac{C(n,m)\Big[C(A_0,K_1,n,p_0,r)t_1^{-\frac{n}{2p_0}}\Big]^{m+2}}{\Big[C(A_0,K_1,n,p_0,r)t_1^{-\frac{n}{2p_0}}(t-\frac{t_1}{2})\Big]^m} \le \frac{C(A_0,K_1,m,n,p_0,r)}{t_1^{\frac{n}{p_0}}(t-t_1)^m}; \qquad \frac{t_1}{2} < t \le T$$

So we have

$$|\nabla^m Rm(x,t_1)|^2 \le \frac{C(A_0,K_1,m,n,p_0,r)}{t_1^{m+\frac{n}{p_0}}}, \quad m \ge 0$$

Because we choose t_1 freely, so finally we get

$$|\nabla^m Rm(x,t)|^2 \le \frac{C(A_0,K_1,m,n,p_0,r)}{t^{m+\frac{n}{p_0}}}, \quad for \ \ 0 < t \le T, \quad m \ge 0$$

So we get our conclusion. □

Proof of Theorem 1.6: Similar argument as the proof of Theorem 1.2, except using the results in subsections 3.2, 4.2 instead of subsections 3.1, 4.1. □

6. **Appendix: Short-time existence of the local Ricci flow**

To prove the short-time existence of the local Ricci flow, we will following the brief sketch in Deane Yang's [15]. Firstly by DeTurck's trick, we could equivalently consider the following local Ricci-DeTurck flow:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij} &= -2\chi^2 R_{ij} + \nabla_i(\chi^2 W)_j + \nabla_j(\chi^2 W)_i \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi_t(p) &= -\chi^2(p) W(\phi_t(p), t), \quad p \in M \\ \phi_0 &= Id_M, \quad g_{ij}(x,0) = \tilde{g}_{ij}(x) \triangleq (g_0)_{ij}(x) \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

$$W_j = g_{jk} g^{pq}(\Gamma^k_{pq} - \tilde{\Gamma}^k_{pq})$$

Note the second equation in (6.1) is a quasilinear ODE, the solution on $M \setminus \Omega$ is $Id_{M\setminus\Omega}$, so we only need to consider the first family of equations in (6.1).

Lemma 6.1. *The following modified evolution equations (6.2) is a parabolic system.*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij} &= -2\chi^2 R_{ij} + \nabla_i(\chi^2 W)_j + \nabla_j(\chi^2 W)_i \\ g_{ij}(x,0) &= \tilde{g}_{ij}(x) \end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

Proof: Note on $M\backslash\Omega$, $g_{ij}(x,t) \equiv \tilde{g}_{ij}(x)$, so we only need to consider the evolution equations on Ω. Calculate (6.2) directly, using lemma 2.1 in [12], we get

(6.3)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij} &= \chi^2 g^{\alpha\beta}\tilde{\nabla}_\alpha\tilde{\nabla}_\beta g_{ij} - \chi^2(g^{\alpha\beta} g_{ip}\tilde{g}^{pq}\tilde{R}_{j\alpha q\beta} + g^{\alpha\beta} g_{jp}\tilde{g}^{pq}\tilde{R}_{i\alpha q\beta}) \\ & \quad +\frac{1}{2}\chi^2 g^{\alpha\beta} g^{pq}(\tilde{\nabla}_i g_{p\alpha}\tilde{\nabla}_j g_{q\beta} + 2\tilde{\nabla}_\alpha g_{jp}\tilde{\nabla}_q g_{i\beta} - 2\tilde{\nabla}_\alpha g_{jp}\tilde{\nabla}_\beta g_{iq} \\ & \quad\quad -2\tilde{\nabla}_j g_{p\alpha}\tilde{\nabla}_\beta g_{iq} - 2\tilde{\nabla}_i g_{p\alpha}\tilde{\nabla}_\beta g_{jq}) \\ & \quad +\chi\chi_i g^{pq}(\tilde{\nabla}_p g_{jq} + \tilde{\nabla}_q g_{pj} - \tilde{\nabla}_j g_{pq}) + \chi\chi_j g^{pq}(\tilde{\nabla}_p g_{iq} + \tilde{\nabla}_q g_{pi} - \tilde{\nabla}_i g_{pq}) \\ g_{ij}(x,0) &= \tilde{g}_{ij}(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \\ g_{ij}(x,t) &= \tilde{g}_{ij}(x), \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \ t \in [0,T]. \end{cases}$$

where $\chi_i = \tilde{\nabla}_i\chi$. Then (6.3) is a degenerate parabolic system, so we are done. □

Now we consider the following parabolic system, where ϵ is some positive constant and $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_{ij} &= (\chi^2 + \epsilon) u^{\alpha\beta}(v_{ij})_{\alpha\beta} + D_{ij}(U,V) + B_{ij}(U, \tilde{\nabla}U) \\ v_{ij}(x,0) &= \tilde{g}_{ij}(x) \quad x \in \Omega. \\ v_{ij}(x,t) &= \tilde{g}_{ij}(x) \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \ t \in [0,T]. \end{cases} \tag{6.4}$$

where $U = (u_{ij})^n_{i,j=1}$, $V = (v_{ij})^n_{i,j=1}$, $(v_{ij})_{\alpha\beta} = \widetilde{\nabla}_\alpha\widetilde{\nabla}_\beta(v_{ij})$,

$$D_{ij}(U,V) = -\chi^2[(u^{\alpha\beta}\tilde{g}^{pq}\tilde{R}_{j\alpha q\beta})v_{ip} + (u^{\alpha\beta}\tilde{g}^{pq}\tilde{R}_{i\alpha q\beta})v_{jp}]$$

$$B_{ij}(U, \tilde{\nabla}U) = E_{ij} + F_{ij}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2}\chi^2 u^{\alpha\beta} u^{pq}(\tilde{\nabla}_i u_{p\alpha}\tilde{\nabla}_j u_{q\beta} + 2\tilde{\nabla}_\alpha u_{jp}\tilde{\nabla}_q u_{i\beta} - 2\tilde{\nabla}_\alpha u_{jp}\tilde{\nabla}_\beta u_{iq} \\ & \quad -2\tilde{\nabla}_j u_{p\alpha}\tilde{\nabla}_\beta u_{iq} - 2\tilde{\nabla}_i u_{p\alpha}\tilde{\nabla}_\beta u_{jq}) \end{aligned}$$

$$F_{ij} = \chi\chi_i u^{pq}(\tilde{\nabla}_p u_{jq} + \tilde{\nabla}_q u_{pj} - \tilde{\nabla}_j u_{pq}) + \chi\chi_j u^{pq}(\tilde{\nabla}_p u_{iq} + \tilde{\nabla}_q u_{pi} - \tilde{\nabla}_i u_{pq})$$

We define $\Phi_\epsilon(U) = V$, if V is the solution of (6.4), and $A^{\alpha\beta}_\epsilon \triangleq (\chi^2 + \epsilon)u^{\alpha\beta}$.

For simplicity reason, unless otherwise mentioned, in the rest of this section, we use the notation $\Phi(U) = V$, $A^{\alpha\beta}$ to replace $\Phi_\epsilon(U) = V$ and $A^{\alpha\beta}_\epsilon$, we also use ∇ to replace $\widetilde{\nabla}$. So we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_{ij} = A^{\alpha\beta}(v_{ij})_{\alpha\beta} + D_{ij}(U, V) + B_{ij}(U, \nabla U) \tag{6.5}$$

We define the following function spaces and norms:

Definition 6.2.

$$\mathfrak{A}_T = \{U \big| \frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}_{ij} \leq u_{ij} \leq 2\tilde{g}_{ij};\ U(x, 0) = \tilde{g}(x), x \in \Omega;$$

$$U(x, t) = \tilde{g}, x \in \partial\Omega;\ U \in C^0(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T], \otimes^2 T^*(\overline{\Omega}))\}$$

$$\big|U(x,t)\big|_N = \Big(\sum_{|\alpha|\leq N} \int_\Omega |\nabla^\alpha U(x,t)|^2 d\mu_{\tilde{g}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}},\quad \big|U(x,t)\big|_{T,N} = \sup_{t\in[0,T]} (\big|U(x,t)\big|_N)$$

$$\|f\|_N \triangleq \sum_{|\alpha|\leq N} |\nabla^\alpha f|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$$

where $|\nabla^\alpha U(x,t)|^2 = \tilde{g}^{i_1 j_1} \cdots \tilde{g}^{i_m j_m} \tilde{g}^{pk} \tilde{g}^{ql} (\nabla_{i_1} \cdots \nabla_{i_m} u_{pq})(\nabla_{j_1} \cdots \nabla_{j_m} u_{kl})$, *and* $|\alpha| = m \geq 0$.

Choosing some fixed $\tilde{p} > \frac{n}{2}$, *then let*

$$\mathbb{B}_0(R)_T = \{U \big| \big|U\big|_{T,\tilde{p}+2} \leq R; U \in C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T], \otimes^2 T^*(\overline{\Omega}))\}$$

$$\mathbb{B}_N(R_N)_T = \{U \big| \big|U\big|_{T,N} \leq R_N; U \in C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T], \otimes^2 T^*(\overline{\Omega}))\}$$

where $N \geq \tilde{p} + 2$.

we choose $R = 2\big|\tilde{g}\big|_{\tilde{p}+2}$ and $R_N = 2\big|\tilde{g}\big|_N$ in the following.

Firstly we have the following lemma:

Lemma 6.3. *If* $|U^{-1}|_{L^\infty} \leq C_0$, *then*

$$\big|U^{-1}\big|_{k+1} \leq C(k, C_0, C_s(\Omega))(\big|U\big|_{\tilde{p}+1} + 1)^{k+1}(\big|U\big|_{k+1} + 1),$$

$\forall k \geq 0$, *where* $C_s(\Omega)$ *is the Sobolev constant of* Ω.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\nabla^{k+1} U^{-1}\big|_{L^2} &= |\nabla^k(U^{-1}U^{-1}\nabla U)|_{L^2} = |\nabla^k(U^{-2}\nabla U)|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C((|U^{-2}|_{L^\infty} + 1)|\nabla U|_k + |U^{-2}|_k(|\nabla U|_{L^\infty} + 1)) \\ &\leq C|\nabla U|_k + C(|U^{-1}|_k(|U^{-1}|_{L^\infty} + 1)(|U|_{\tilde{p}+1} + 1)) \\ &\leq C|U|_{k+1} + C|U^{-1}|_k(|U|_{\tilde{p}+1} + 1)\end{aligned}$$

Let $a_k = \big|U^{-1}\big|_k$, $c_k = \big|U\big|_k$, $b = (\big|U\big|_{\tilde{p}+1} + 1)$, we get $a_{k+1} \leq Cc_{k+1} + Cba_k$. By induction, it is easy to get

$$a_{k+1} \leq C(b + 1)^{k+1}(c_{k+1} + 1)$$

So we have

$$\big|U^{-1}\big|_{k+1} \leq C(\big|U\big|_{\tilde{p}+1} + 1)^{k+1}(\big|U\big|_{k+1} + 1)$$

□

From now on, we will denote all the uniformly bounded quantities on $[0, T]$ by C. C in different places may have different meanings, but it should be clear from the context what the C represents or depends on. If some quantity is assumed to be uniformly bounded on $[0, T]$ in a specific lemma, proposition or theorem, we would also denote it by C.

Then we have the following proposition:

Proposition 6.4. *There exists some* $T > 0$*, such that* $\Phi : \mathfrak{A}_T \cap \mathbb{B}_0(R)_T \to \mathfrak{A}_T \cap \mathbb{B}_0(R)_T$.

Proof: Firstly choose $U \in \mathfrak{A}_{t_1} \cap \mathbb{B}_0(R)_{t_1}$, t_1 is to be determined later, we want to show $V = \Phi(U) \in \mathfrak{A}_{t_1}$ for some $t_1 > 0$.

We choose a positive integer m such that $2^m > 2n$, then if we choose a normal coordinate system $\{x^i\}$, such that at one point

$$\{\tilde{g}_{ij}\} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{0} & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\{v_{ij}\} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{0} & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

then

$$\{v^{ij}\} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^{-1} & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & \lambda_2^{-1} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{0} & & & \lambda_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Now we could define:

$$\phi_m \triangleq v^{\alpha_1\beta_1}\tilde{g}_{\beta_1\alpha_2}\cdots v^{\alpha_m\beta_m}\tilde{g}_{\beta_m\alpha_1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i^{-m}$$

By (6.5) and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}v^{ij} = -v^{ik}v^{jl}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}v_{kl}$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}v^{ij} = -v^{ik}v^{jl}[A^{\alpha\beta}(v_{kl})_{\alpha\beta} + D_{kl}(U, V) + B_{kl}(U, \nabla U)] \tag{6.6}$$

By (61) on page 236 of [12], we get

$$(v^{ij})_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta(v^{ij}) = -v^{ik}v^{jl}\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta(v_{kl}) - \nabla_\alpha(v^{ik}v^{jl})\nabla_\beta v_{kl} \tag{6.7}$$

By (6.6) and (6.7), we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}v^{ij} = A^{\alpha\beta}(v^{ij})_{\alpha\beta} + A^{\alpha\beta}(v^{ik}v^{jl})_\alpha(v_{kl})_\beta - v^{ik}v^{jl}[D_{kl}(U, V) + B_{kl}(U, \nabla U)]$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\phi_m)_t &= v^{\alpha_1\beta_1}\cdots(\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}v^{\alpha_k\beta_k})\cdots\tilde{g}_{\beta_m\alpha_1}\\
&= v^{\alpha_1\beta_1}\cdots[A^{\alpha\beta}(v^{\alpha_k\beta_k})_{\alpha\beta}+A^{\alpha\beta}(v^{\alpha_k p}v^{\beta_k q})_{\alpha}(v_{pq})_{\beta}\\
&\quad -v^{\alpha_k p}v^{\beta_k q}[D_{pq}(U,V)+B_{pq}(U,\nabla U)]]\cdots\tilde{g}_{\beta_m\alpha_1}\\
&= A^{\alpha\beta}(\phi_m)_{\alpha\beta}+2\lambda_i^{-m}\chi^2u^{\alpha\beta}R_{i\alpha i\beta}-\lambda_i^{-m-1}B_{ii}\\
&\quad -2A^{\alpha\beta}\lambda_p^{-m-1}\lambda_q^{-1}(v_{pq})_{\alpha}(v_{pq})_{\beta}\\
&\quad -2\textstyle\sum_{k,l}\sum_{p<q}A^{\alpha\beta}(\lambda_k^{-1})^{m+p-q-3}(\lambda_l^{-1})^{q-p-3}(v_{kl})_{\alpha}(v_{kl})_{\beta}
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.8}
$$

Note the last term in (6.8):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-2\textstyle\sum_{k,l}\sum_{p<q}A^{\alpha\beta}(\lambda_k^{-1})^{m+p-q-3}(\lambda_l^{-1})^{q-p-3}(v_{kl})_{\alpha}(v_{kl})_{\beta}\\
&= -m\textstyle\sum_{k,l}A^{\alpha\beta}\sum_{\sigma=-2}^{m-4}(\lambda_k^{-1})^{m-\sigma-6}(\lambda_l^{-1})^{\sigma}(v_{kl})_{\alpha}(v_{kl})_{\beta}
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.9}
$$

By (6.8) and (6.9), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\phi_m)_t &= (\chi^2+\epsilon)(u^{\alpha\beta})(\phi_m)_{\alpha\beta}-m(\chi^2+\epsilon)\textstyle\sum_{\sigma=-2}^{m-4}u^{\alpha\beta}(v_{kl})_{\alpha}(v_{kl})_{\beta}(\lambda_k^{-1})^{m-\sigma-6}(\lambda_l^{-1})^{\sigma}\\
&\quad -2(\lambda_p^{-1})^{m+1}\lambda_q^{-1}(\chi^2+\epsilon)u^{\alpha\beta}(v_{pq})_{\alpha}(v_{pq})_{\beta}\\
&\quad +2\lambda_i^{-m}\chi^2u^{\alpha\beta}\tilde{R}_{i\alpha i\beta}-\lambda_i^{-m-1}B_{ii}
\end{aligned}
$$

then we get

$$
(\phi_m)_t \le (\chi^2+\epsilon)u^{\alpha\beta}(\phi_m)_{\alpha\beta}+C(n)\chi^2\left|\tilde{Rm}\right|\phi_m-\lambda_i^{-m-1}B_{ii}
\tag{6.10}
$$

Because $U\in\mathfrak{A}_{t_1}\cap\mathbb{B}_0(R)_{t_1}$, then

$$
-\lambda_i^{-m-1}B_{ii}\le C\cdot(R+1)^2\phi_m^{1+\frac{1}{m}}
\tag{6.11}
$$

From (6.10), (6.11) and Maximum Principle, we have

$$
(\max_{x\in\Omega}\phi_m(x,t))_t \le C((\max_{x\in\Omega}\phi_m(x,t))+1)^2
$$

$$
\phi_m(x,t)\le\frac{1}{C_0-Ct}-1,\quad C_0=\frac{1}{n+1}
$$

Note $\phi_m(0)=2n$, so we could choose $t_{11}>0$, such that when $t\in[0,t_{11}]$, $\phi_m(x,t)\le 2^m$, so

$$
\lambda_i\ge\frac{1}{2},\quad i=1,2,\cdots,n
$$

Now we define

$$
\psi_m = v_{\alpha_1\beta_1}\tilde{g}^{\beta_1\alpha_2}\cdots v_{\alpha_m\beta_m}\tilde{g}^{\beta_m\alpha_1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i^m
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\psi_m)_t &= (\chi^2+\epsilon)u^{\alpha\beta}(\psi_m)_{\alpha\beta}-2(\chi^2+\epsilon)\textstyle\sum_{j<k}\lambda_q^{m+j-k-1}\lambda_r^{k-j-1}u^{\alpha\beta}(v_{qr})_{\alpha}(v_{qr})_{\beta}\\
&\quad -2\chi^2\lambda_i^m u^{\alpha\beta}\tilde{R}_{i\alpha i\beta}+\lambda_i^{m-1}B_{ii}\\
&\le (\chi^2+\epsilon)u^{\alpha\beta}(\psi_m)_{\alpha\beta}+C(\psi_m+1)^2
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.12}
$$

Similarly, by (6.12) and Maximum Principle, we have

$$
\phi_m(x,t)\le\frac{1}{C_0-Ct}-1,\quad C_0=\frac{1}{n+1}
$$

Choose $t_{12}>0$, such that when $t\in[0,t_{12}]$, $\psi_m(x,t)\le 2^m$, so

$$
\lambda_i\le 2\quad i=1,2,\cdots,n
$$

We set $t_1 = \min\{t_{11}, t_{12}\}$,then when $t \in [0, t_1]$, $\frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda_i \leq 2$, so we get $V \in \mathfrak{A}_{t_1}$. Note in fact we prove that $V \in \mathfrak{A}_{t_1}$ if $U \in \mathfrak{A}_{t_1} \cap \mathbb{B}_0(R)_{t_1}$.

By (6.5), for $N \geq \tilde{p} + 2$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left|V\right|_N^2 = 2\sum_\alpha \int_\Omega (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2}\nabla^\alpha v_{kl}\nabla^\alpha\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}v_{ij}\right) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 \tag{6.13}$$

where

$$I_1 = 2\sum_\alpha \int_\Omega (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2}\nabla^\alpha v_{kl}\nabla^\alpha (v_{ij})_{pq}A^{pq}$$

$$I_2 = 2\sum_\alpha \int_\Omega (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2}\nabla^\alpha v_{kl}\Big[\nabla^\alpha(A^{pq}(v_{ij})_{pq}) - A^{pq}\nabla^\alpha(v_{ij})_{pq}\Big]$$

$$I_3 = 2\sum_\alpha \int_\Omega (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2}\nabla^\alpha v_{kl}\nabla^\alpha D_{ij}, \quad I_4 = 2\sum_\alpha \int_\Omega (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha+2}\nabla^\alpha v_{kl}\nabla^\alpha B_{ij}$$

(6.14)

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq -2\sum_\alpha \int (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2}(\nabla^\alpha v_{kl})_p\nabla^\alpha(v_{ij})_q A^{pq} - 2\sum_\alpha \int (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2}(\nabla^\alpha v_{kl})\nabla^\alpha(v_{ij})_q(A^{pq})_p \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{|\alpha|=N}\int (\chi^2 + \epsilon)\left|\nabla^{\alpha+1}V\right|^2 + C\left|V\right|_N^2 \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact $|\nabla U^{-1}| \leq |U^{-1}|^2|\nabla U| \leq C(R)$.

$$I_2 \leq 2\sum_\alpha \left|\nabla^\alpha V\right|_{L^2} \cdot \left[C\Big(\int (\chi^2 + \epsilon)\left|\nabla^{\alpha+1}V\right|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left|\nabla^{\alpha-2}[\nabla^2 A^{pq}(V)_{pq}]\right|_{L^2}\right] \tag{6.15}$$

and it is easy to get

$$\left|\nabla^{\alpha-2}[\nabla^2 A^{pq}(V)_{pq}]\right|_{L^2} \quad \leq C|V|_N + C(|V|_{\tilde{p}+2} + 1)(|A^{pq}|_N + 1)$$

but we know from lemma 6.3:

$$\left|U^{-1}\right|_{k+1} \leq C(\left|U\right|_{\tilde{p}+1} + 1)^{k+1}(\left|U\right|_{k+1} + 1)$$

so we have

$$\left|\nabla^{\alpha-2}[\nabla^2 A^{pq}(V)_{pq}]\right|_{L^2} \leq C\left|V\right|_N + C(\left|V\right|_{\tilde{p}+2} + 1)(\left|U\right|_N + 1) \tag{6.16}$$

By (6.15) and (6.16), we get

$$I_2 \leq \frac{1}{16}\sum_{|\alpha|=N}\int (\chi^2 + \epsilon)\left|\nabla^{\alpha+1}V\right|^2 + C\left|V\right|_N^2 + C\left|V\right|_N(\left|V\right|_{\tilde{p}+2} + 1)(\left|U\right|_N + 1) \tag{6.17}$$

$$I_3 \leq 2\left|V\right|_N \sum_\alpha |\nabla^\alpha D|_{L^2} \leq C|V|_N^2 + C|V|_N(\left|V\right|_{\tilde{p}} + 1)(|U|_N + 1) \tag{6.18}$$

$$I_4 = I_5 + I_6 \tag{6.19}$$

where

$$I_5 = 2\sum_\alpha \int (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2}\nabla^\alpha v_{kl}\nabla^\alpha E_{ij}$$

$$I_6 = 2\sum_\alpha \int (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2}\nabla^\alpha v_{kl}\nabla^\alpha F_{ij}$$

for I_5, we only estimate one term of it as the following, the rest are similar.

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\textstyle\sum_\alpha \int (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2}\nabla^\alpha v_{kl}\nabla^\alpha[\tfrac{1}{2}\chi^2 u^{rs}u^{pq}(u_{rp})_i(u_{sq})_j] \\
&\quad \leq C(n)\textstyle\sum_\alpha |\nabla^\alpha V|_{L^2}\cdot|\nabla^{\alpha-1}[\nabla(\chi^2U^{-2})\cdot(\nabla U)^2]|_{L^2} \\
&\qquad +C(n)\textstyle\sum_\alpha \int \nabla^\alpha V\cdot(\chi^2U^{-2})\nabla^\alpha(\nabla U\nabla U) \\
&\quad \leq C|V|_N\cdot[|U^{-2}|_N|((\nabla U)^2|_{\tilde{p}}+1)+(|U^{-2}|_{\tilde{p}+1}|+1)(\nabla U)^2|_{N-1}] \\
&\qquad +C(n)\textstyle\sum_\alpha \int \left|\nabla^{\alpha+1}V\cdot(\chi^2U^{-2})\nabla^{\alpha-1}(\nabla U\nabla U)\right| \\
&\qquad +C(n)\textstyle\sum_\alpha \int \left|\nabla^{\alpha}V\cdot\nabla(\chi^2U^{-2})\nabla^{\alpha-1}(\nabla U\nabla U)\right| \\
&\leq C|V|_N(|U|_N+1)+\tfrac{1}{64}\textstyle\sum_{|\alpha|=N}\int\chi^2|\nabla^{\alpha+1}V|^2+C|U|_N^2+C|V|_N|U|_N
\end{aligned}$$

so we get

$$I_5 \leq \frac{9}{64}\sum_{|\alpha|=N}\int\chi^2|\nabla^{\alpha+1}V|^2+C|V|_N(|U|_N+1)+C|U|_N^2$$

for I_6, we only estimate one term of it as the following, the rest are similar.

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\textstyle\sum_\alpha \int (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2}\nabla^\alpha v_{kl}\nabla^\alpha[\chi\chi_i u^{pq}(u_{jq})_p] \\
&\leq C\textstyle\sum_\alpha \nabla^\alpha V\cdot\nabla^{\alpha-1}[\nabla(\chi\nabla\chi U^{-1})\cdot(\nabla U)]+2\textstyle\sum_\alpha\int\nabla^\alpha V\cdot(\chi\nabla\chi U^{-1})\nabla^{\alpha+1}U \\
&\leq C|V|_N(|U|_N+1)+\tfrac{1}{64}\textstyle\sum_{|\alpha|=N}\int\chi^2|\nabla^{\alpha+1}V|^2+C|U|_N^2+C|V|_N|U|_N
\end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$I_6 \leq \frac{6}{64}\sum_{|\alpha|=N}\int\chi^2|\nabla^{\alpha+1}V|^2+C|U|_N^2+C|V|_N(|U|_N+1)$$

Then we get

$$I_4 \leq \frac{15}{64}\sum_{|\alpha|=N}\int\chi^2|\nabla^{\alpha+1}V|^2+C|U|_N^2+C|V|_N(|U|_N+1) \tag{6.20}$$

By (6.13), (6.14), (6.17), (6.18) and (6.20), we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|V|_N^2 \leq C|V|_N^2+C|V|_N(|V|_{\tilde{p}+2}+1)(|U|_N+1)+C|U|_N^2 \tag{6.21}$$

Let $\phi(t)=|V|_N^2(t)$, then

$$\phi_t \leq C\phi+C\phi^{\frac{1}{2}}Q_1+Q_2$$

where

$$Q_1=(|V|_{\tilde{p}+2}+1)(|U|_N+1), \quad Q_2=C|U|_N^2$$

we could get

$$\phi_t \leq C(\phi+1)(Q_1^2+Q_2+1) \leq CQ_4(\phi+1) \tag{6.22}$$

where

$$Q_4=(|V|_{\tilde{p}+2}^2+1)(|U|_N+1)^2 \tag{6.23}$$

When we choose $N=\tilde{p}+2$, then $\phi(t)=|V|_{\tilde{p}+2}^2(t)$, define $\psi(t)=|U|_{\tilde{p}+2}(t)$, then

$$Q_4=(\phi+1)(\psi+1)^2$$

$$\phi_t \leq C(\phi+1)^2(\psi+1)^2 \leq C(R+1)^2(\phi+1)^2=C(\phi+1)^2$$

where $\psi \leq R$ because of $U \in \mathbb{B}_0(R)_{t_1}$ and $C = C(n, N, R, ||\chi||_N, ||\tilde{Rm}||_N, C_s(\Omega))$.

$$\phi(t) \leq \frac{1}{C_0 - Ct} - 1, \quad C_0 = (\phi(0) + 1)^{-1}$$

Note $\phi(0) = (\frac{R}{2})^2$, so there exists $t_2 > 0$, such that if $t \in [0, t_2]$, $\phi(t) \leq R^2$

$$\Longrightarrow |V|_{\tilde{p}+2} \leq R$$

So choose

$$T = t_3 = \min\{t_1, t_2\} \tag{6.24}$$

we get $\phi(U) = V \in \mathfrak{A}_T \cap \mathbb{B}_0(R)_T$ if $U \in \mathfrak{A}_T \cap \mathbb{B}_0(R)_T$. So we have

$$\phi : \mathfrak{A}_T \cap \mathbb{B}_0(R)_T \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_T \cap \mathbb{B}_0(R)_T$$

□

Proposition 6.5. *For any* $N \geq \tilde{p} + 2$, *there exists* $T > 0$, *such that*

$$\Phi : \mathfrak{A}_T \cap \mathbb{B}_0(R)_T \cap \mathbb{B}_N(R_N)_T \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_T \cap \mathbb{B}_0(R)_T \cap \mathbb{B}_N(R_N)_T$$

Proof: Choose $U \in \mathfrak{A}_{t_3} \cap \mathbb{B}_0(R)_{t_3} \cap \mathbb{B}_N(R_N)_{t_3}$, where t_3 is defined in (6.24). Then by Proposition 6.4, we get $V = \Phi(U) \in \mathfrak{A}_{t_3} \cap \mathbb{B}_0(R)_{t_3}$, so $|V|_{\tilde{p}+2} \leq R$. And we also have $|U|_N \leq R_N$, so by (6.22) and (6.23), we get

$$\phi_t \leq C(R^2 + 1)(R_N + 1)^2(\phi + 1) \leq C(\phi + 1)$$

where $\phi(t) = |V|_N^2(t)$ and $C = C(n, N, R, R_N, ||\chi||_N, ||\tilde{Rm}||_N, C_s(\Omega))$.

$$\phi(t) \leq (C_0 - Ct)^{-1} - 1, \quad C_0 = (\phi(0) + 1)^{-1}$$

Note $\phi(0) = (\frac{R_N}{2})^2$.

Then there exists $t_4 > 0$, such that if $t \in [0, t_4]$,

$$\phi(t) \leq (R_N)^2$$

which implies $|V|_N \leq R_N$.

Now we choose

$$T = t_5 = \min\{t_3, t_4\}$$

Then when $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$|V(x,t)|_N \leq R_N$$

$\Longrightarrow V \in \mathfrak{A}_T \cap \mathbb{B}_0(R)_T \cap \mathbb{B}_N(R_N)_T$ if $U \in \mathfrak{A}_T \cap \mathbb{B}_0(R)_T \cap \mathbb{B}_N(R_N)_T$. □

Next we show that Φ is a contraction map.

Proposition 6.6. *There exists* $T > 0$, *such that*

$$|\Phi(U) - \Phi(\tilde{U})|_{T,\tilde{p}} \leq \delta |U - \tilde{U}|_{T,\tilde{p}}$$

for some $0 < \delta < 1$, *any* $U, \tilde{U} \in \mathfrak{A}_T \cap \mathbb{B}_0(R)_T$.

Proof: By (6.5) we will have the following

$$\begin{cases} V_t & = (\chi^2+\epsilon)u^{\alpha\beta}(V)_{\alpha\beta} + P(U)V + B(U,\nabla U) \\ \tilde{V}_t & = (\chi^2+\epsilon)\tilde{u}^{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{V})_{\alpha\beta} + P(\tilde{U})\tilde{V} + B(\tilde{U},\nabla\tilde{U}) \end{cases}$$

where

$$V = \Phi(U);\ (P(U)V)_{ij} = D_{ij}(U,V);\ (B(U,\nabla U))_{ij} = B_{ij}(U,\nabla U);\ (V)_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta V$$

similar notation for $\tilde{V}$ etc.

Define $W \triangleq V - \tilde{V} = \Phi(U) - \Phi(\tilde{U})$, then

$$W_t = (\chi^2+\epsilon)u^{\alpha\beta}w_{\alpha\beta} + P(U)W + H$$

where

$$H = (\chi^2+\epsilon)(u^{\alpha\beta} - \tilde{u}^{\alpha\beta})(\tilde{V})_{\alpha\beta} + (P(U) - P(\tilde{U}))\tilde{V} + \Big(B(U,\nabla U) - B(\tilde{U},\nabla\tilde{U})\Big)$$

We consider $|W|^2_{\tilde{p}}$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|W|^2_{\tilde{p}} = J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4 \tag{6.25}$$

where

$$J_1 = 2\sum_{|\alpha|\le\tilde{p}}\int(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2}\nabla^\alpha w_{kl}\nabla^\alpha(w_{ij})_{pq}A^{pq}$$

$$J_2 = 2\sum\int(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2}\nabla^\alpha w_{kl}[\nabla^\alpha(A^{pq}(w_{ij})_{pq}) - A^{pq}\nabla^\alpha(w_{ij})_{pq}]$$

$$J_3 = 2\sum_{|\alpha|\le\tilde{p}}\int(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2}\nabla^\alpha w_{kl}\nabla^\alpha(P(U)W)_{ij},\quad J_4 = 2\sum_{|\alpha|\le\tilde{p}}\int(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2}\nabla^\alpha w_{kl}\nabla^\alpha H_{ij}$$

Recall $A^{pq} = (\chi^2+\epsilon)u^{pq}$. Similar with (6.14), we have

$$J_1 \le -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{|\alpha|=\tilde{p}}\int(\chi^2+\epsilon)|\nabla^{\alpha+1}W|^2 + C|W|^2_{\tilde{p}} \tag{6.26}$$

Similar with (6.15), we get

$$J_2 \le 2\sum_{|\alpha|\le\tilde{p}}|\nabla^\alpha W|_{L^2}\cdot[C(\int(\chi^2+\epsilon)|\nabla^{\alpha+1}W|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\nabla^{\alpha-2}[\nabla^2 A^{pq}(W)_{pq}]|_{L^2}]$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla^{\alpha-2}[\nabla^2 A^{pq}(W)_{pq}]|_{L^2} &\le C\textstyle\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha}|\nabla^\beta(\nabla^2 A^{pq})\nabla^\gamma W|_{L^2} \\ &\le C((|\nabla^2 A^{pq}|_{L^\infty}+1)|\nabla^\alpha W|_{L^2} + |W|_{L^\infty}(|\nabla^\alpha(\nabla^2 A^{pq})|_{L^2}+1)) \\ &\le C[(|U|_{\tilde{p}+2}+1)|W|_{\tilde{p}} + |W|_{\tilde{p}}(|U|_{\tilde{p}+2}+1)] \le C|W|_{\tilde{p}} \end{aligned}$$

so

$$J_2 \le \frac{1}{16}\sum_{|\alpha|=\tilde{p}}\int(\chi^2+\epsilon)|\nabla^{\alpha+1}W|^2 + C|W|^2_{\tilde{p}} \tag{6.27}$$

Similar with (6.18), we get

$$J_3 \le C|W|^2_{\tilde{p}} \tag{6.28}$$

$$J_4 = J_5 + J_6 + J_7 \tag{6.29}$$

where

$$J_5 = 2\sum_\alpha \int (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\alpha+2}\nabla^\alpha W \cdot \nabla^\alpha[(\chi^2+\epsilon)(U^{-1}-\tilde{U}^{-1})\nabla^2\tilde{V}]$$

$$J_6 = 2\sum_\alpha \int (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\alpha+2}\nabla^\alpha W \cdot \nabla^\alpha[(P(U)-P(\tilde{U}))\tilde{V}]$$

$$J_7 = 2\sum_\alpha \int (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\alpha+2}\nabla^\alpha W \cdot \nabla^\alpha[B(U,\nabla U)-B(\tilde{U}-\nabla\tilde{U})]$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_5 \quad &\leq C|W|_{\tilde{p}}\Big(\textstyle\sum_\alpha |\nabla^\alpha[(\chi^2+\epsilon)\nabla^2\tilde{V}\cdot(U^{-1}-\tilde{U}^{-1})]|_{L^2}\Big) \\ &\leq C|W|_{\tilde{p}}(|\tilde{V}|_{\tilde{p}+2}+1)|U^{-1}-\tilde{U}^{-1}|_{\tilde{p}} \leq C|W|_{\tilde{p}}|\tilde{U}-U|_{\tilde{p}} \end{aligned} \tag{6.30}$$

It is easy to get

$$J_6 \leq C|W|_{\tilde{p}}[|P(U)-P(\tilde{U})|_{\tilde{p}}(|\tilde{V}|_{\tilde{p}}+1)] \leq C|W|_{\tilde{p}}|\tilde{U}-U|_{\tilde{p}} \tag{6.31}$$

We only need to estimate two terms of J_7 like the following J_8 and J_9, the others are similar.

$$J_8 = \sum_\alpha \int (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2}\cdot\nabla^\alpha W \cdot \nabla^\alpha[\chi^2(U^{-2}(\nabla U)^2-\tilde{U}^{-2}(\nabla\tilde{U})^2)]$$

$$J_9 = \sum_\alpha \int (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2}\cdot\nabla^\alpha W \cdot \nabla^\alpha[\chi\nabla\chi(U^{-1}\nabla U-\tilde{U}^{-1}\nabla\tilde{U})]$$

We first estimate J_8

$$J_8 \leq J_{10}+J_{11}+J_{12}+J_{13} \tag{6.32}$$

where

$$J_{10} = C\sum_\alpha \int (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2}\cdot\nabla^\alpha W \cdot \nabla^\alpha[(U^{-1}-\tilde{U}^{-1})U^{-1}(\nabla U)^2\chi^2]$$

$$J_{11} = C\sum_\alpha \int (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2}\cdot\nabla^\alpha W \cdot \nabla^\alpha[(U^{-1}-\tilde{U}^{-1})\tilde{U}^{-1}(\nabla U)^2\chi^2]$$

$$J_{12} = C\sum_\alpha \int (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2}\cdot\nabla^\alpha W \cdot \nabla^\alpha[(\tilde{U}^{-2}\nabla U\chi^2)\nabla(U-\tilde{U})]$$

$$J_{13} = C\sum_\alpha \int (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2}\cdot\nabla^\alpha W \cdot \nabla^\alpha[(\tilde{U}^{-2}\nabla\tilde{U}\chi^2)\nabla(U-\tilde{U})]$$

Firstly, it is easy to get

$$J_{10} \leq C|W|_{\tilde{p}}\Big(|U^{-1}-\tilde{U}^{-1}|_{\tilde{p}}(|U^{-1}(\nabla U)^2|_{\tilde{p}}+1)\Big) \leq C|W|_{\tilde{p}}|\tilde{U}-U|_{\tilde{p}} \tag{6.33}$$

Similarly, we get

$$J_{11} \leq C|W|_{\tilde{p}}|\tilde{U}-U|_{\tilde{p}} \tag{6.34}$$

We have $J_{12} \le J_{12.1} + J_{12.2}$, where

$$J_{12.1} = C \sum_{\alpha} \int (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2} \cdot \nabla^{\alpha} W \cdot \nabla^{\alpha-1}[\nabla(\tilde{U}^{-2} \nabla U \chi^2) \nabla(U - \tilde{U})]$$

$$J_{12.2} = C \sum_{\alpha} \int (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2} \cdot \nabla^{\alpha} W \cdot (\tilde{U}^{-2} \nabla U \chi^2) \cdot \nabla^{\alpha+1}(U - \tilde{U})$$

$$J_{12.1} \le C|W|_{\tilde{p}} \sum_{\alpha} \left|\nabla^{\alpha-1}[f \nabla g]\right|_{L^2} \tag{6.35}$$

where $f = \nabla(\tilde{U}^{-2}\chi^2 \nabla U)$, $g = U - \tilde{U}$, and note $|f|_{\tilde{p}} \le C(|u|_{\tilde{p}+2} + |\tilde{u}|_{\tilde{p}+2} + 1) \le C$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla^{\alpha-1}[f\nabla g]|_{L^2} &\le C \textstyle\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} |\nabla^{\beta} f \nabla^{\gamma} g|_{L^2} \\ &\le C((|f|_{L^\infty} + 1)|\nabla^{\alpha} g|_{L^2} + |g|_{L^\infty}(|\nabla^{\alpha} f|_{L^2} + 1)) \\ &\le C|g|_{\tilde{p}} = C|U - \tilde{U}|_{\tilde{p}} \end{aligned} \tag{6.36}$$

With (6.35) and (6.36), we get

$$J_{12.1} \le C|W|_{\tilde{p}}|U - \tilde{U}|_{\tilde{p}} \tag{6.37}$$

it is easy to get

$$J_{12.2} \le \tfrac{1}{1000} \textstyle\sum_{|\alpha|=\tilde{p}} \int |\nabla^{\alpha+1} W|^2 \chi^2 + C|U - \tilde{U}|^2_{\tilde{p}} + C|W|_{\tilde{p}}|U - \tilde{U}|_{\tilde{p}} \tag{6.38}$$

by (6.37) and (6.38), we get

$$J_{12} \le \frac{1}{1000} \sum_{|\alpha|=\tilde{p}} \int |\nabla^{\alpha+1} W|^2 \chi^2 + C|U - \tilde{U}|^2_{\tilde{p}} + C|W|_{\tilde{p}}|U - \tilde{U}|_{\tilde{p}} \tag{6.39}$$

Similarly,

$$J_{13} \le \frac{1}{1000} \sum_{|\alpha|=\tilde{p}} \int |\nabla^{\alpha+1} W|^2 \chi^2 + C|U - \tilde{U}|^2_{\tilde{p}} + C|W|_{\tilde{p}}|U - \tilde{U}|_{\tilde{p}} \tag{6.40}$$

By (6.33), (6.34), (6.39), (6.40) and (6.32), we get

$$J_8 \le \frac{1}{500} \sum_{|\alpha|=\tilde{p}} \int |\nabla^{\alpha+1} W|^2 \chi^2 + C|U - \tilde{U}|^2_{\tilde{p}} + C|W|_{\tilde{p}}|U - \tilde{U}|_{\tilde{p}} \tag{6.41}$$

$$J_9 \le J_{14} + J_{15} \tag{6.42}$$

where

$$J_{14} = \sum_{\alpha} \int (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2} \cdot \nabla^{\alpha} W \cdot \nabla^{\alpha}[(\chi \nabla \chi \nabla U)(U^{-1} - \tilde{U}^{-1})]$$

$$J_{15} = \sum_{\alpha} \int (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{|\alpha|+2} \cdot \nabla^{\alpha} W \cdot \nabla^{\alpha}[(\chi \nabla \chi \tilde{U}^{-1}) \nabla(U - \tilde{U})]$$

It is easy to get

$$J_{14} \le C|W|_{\tilde{p}}|U - \tilde{U}|_{\tilde{p}} \tag{6.43}$$

Similar with the argument for J_{12}, we could get

$$J_{15} \le \frac{1}{1000}\sum_{|\alpha|=\tilde{p}}\int |\nabla^{\alpha+1}W|^2\chi^2 + C|U-\tilde{U}|^2_{\tilde{p}} + C|W|_{\tilde{p}}|U-\tilde{U}|_{\tilde{p}} \tag{6.44}$$

By (6.43), (6.44) and (6.42), we get

$$J_{9} \le \frac{1}{1000}\sum_{|\alpha|=\tilde{p}}\int |\nabla^{\alpha+1}W|^2\chi^2 + C|U-\tilde{U}|^2_{\tilde{p}} + C|W|_{\tilde{p}}|U-\tilde{U}|_{\tilde{p}} \tag{6.45}$$

By (6.41) and (6.45), we get

$$J_{7} \le \Big(\frac{9}{500}+\frac{6}{1000}\Big)\sum_{|\alpha|=\tilde{p}}\int |\nabla^{\alpha+1}W|^2\chi^2 + C|U-\tilde{U}|^2_{\tilde{p}} + C|W|_{\tilde{p}}|U-\tilde{U}|_{\tilde{p}} \tag{6.46}$$

By (6.30), (6.31), (6.46) and (6.29), we get

$$J_{4} \le \frac{3}{100}\sum_{|\alpha|=\tilde{p}}\int |\nabla^{\alpha+1}W|^2\chi^2 + C|U-\tilde{U}|^2_{\tilde{p}} + C|W|_{\tilde{p}}|U-\tilde{U}|_{\tilde{p}} \tag{6.47}$$

By (6.26), (6.27), (6.28), (6.47), and (6.25) we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(W|^2_{\tilde{p}}) \le C_1|W|^2_{\tilde{p}} + C_2|U-\tilde{U}|^2_{\tilde{p}} \tag{6.48}$$

where $C_1 = C_1(n, R, ||\chi||_{\tilde{p}}, ||\widetilde{Rm}||_{\tilde{p}}, C_s(\Omega)) > 0$ and C_2 depends on the same parameter like C_1.

By Gronwall's inequality and (6.48), note $|W(x,0)|_{\tilde{p}} = 0$, we get

$$|W|^2_{\tilde{p}}(t) \le e^{C_1 t}\cdot\int_0^t C_2|U-\tilde{U}|^2_{\tilde{p}}(s)ds \le C_2 t e^{C_1 t}|U-\tilde{U}|^2_{t,\tilde{p}} \tag{6.49}$$

We could choose $t_6 > 0$, such that $C_2 t_6 e^{C_1 t_6} = \delta^2 < 1$, then we choose

$$T = t_7 = \min\{t_5, t_6\} \tag{6.50}$$

then if $t \in [0,T]$, we get $|W|^2_{\tilde{p}}(t) \le \delta^2|U-\tilde{U}|^2_{T,\tilde{p}}$. Then we have

$$|W|_{T,\tilde{p}} \le \delta|U-\tilde{U}|_{T,\tilde{p}}$$

that is

$$|\Phi(U)-\Phi(\tilde{U})|_{T,\tilde{p}} \le \delta|U-\tilde{U}|_{T,\tilde{p}}$$

□

Theorem 6.7. *There exists $T > 0$, such that on $\Omega\times[0,T]$, degenerate parabolic system (6.3) has a smooth solution.*

Proof: We choose $T = t_7$, and t_7 is defined in (6.50). Now we set $U^0(x,t) = \tilde{g}(x)$, and $U^{i+1} = \Phi(U^i)$ where U^i are all $(2,0)$-tensor on $\Omega\times[0,T]$, $i = 0,1,2\cdots$. Note $U^0 \in \mathfrak{A}_T \cap \mathbb{B}_0(R)_T \cap \mathbb{B}_N(R_N)_T$ for any $N \ge \tilde{p}+2$, by Proposition 6.5, we get $U^i \in \mathfrak{A}_T \cap \mathbb{B}_0(R)_T \cap \mathbb{B}_N(R_N)_T$ for any i. Then we have the following:

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\sup_{x\in\Omega}\sup_{|\alpha|\le N}|\nabla^\alpha U^i(x,t)| \le C|U^i|_{T,\tilde{p}+N} \le CR_{\tilde{p}+N} \tag{6.51}$$

for any $i, N \ge 0$, where $C = C(C_s(\Omega), N)$, and note C is independent of i.

Look at (6.4), we get

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\sup_{x\in\Omega}|D_t^N U^i(x,t)| \leq C \tag{6.52}$$

for any $i, N \geq 0$, where $C = C(C_s, N, R, R_{\tilde{p}+3}, \cdots, R_{\tilde{p}+2N}, ||\chi||_{\tilde{p}+2N}, ||\tilde{Rm}||_{\tilde{p}+2N})$, note C is independent of i.

So $\{U^i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are uniformly bounded in $C^k(\Omega\times[0,T])$ for any positive integer k, we could choose subsequence $U^{k_i} \to U^{\infty}$ in $C^{\tilde{p}}(\Omega\times[0,T])$. Then for $k_i \geq m > 0$, we get

$$|U^m - U^{k_i}|_{T,\tilde{p}} = |\Phi^m(U^0) - \Phi^{k_i}(U^0)|_{T,\tilde{p}} \leq \delta^m|U^0 - \Phi^{k_i-m}(U^0)|_{T,\tilde{p}} \leq 2\delta^m R$$

So we have

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}|U^m - U^{\infty}|_{T,\tilde{p}} \leq \lim_{m\to\infty}(|U^m - U^{k_i}|_{T,\tilde{p}} + |U^{k_i} - U^{\infty}|_{T,\tilde{p}}) = 0$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}|U^{\infty} - \Phi(U^{\infty})|_{T,\tilde{p}} &= \lim_{m\to\infty}|U^m - \Phi(U^{\infty})|_{T,\tilde{p}} = \lim_{m\to\infty}|\Phi(U^{m-1}) - \Phi(U^{\infty})|_{T,\tilde{p}}\\ &\leq \delta\lim_{m\to\infty}|U^{m-1} - U^{\infty}|_{T,\tilde{p}} = \delta\cdot 0 = 0\end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$|U^{\infty} - \Phi(U^{\infty})|_{C^0} \leq C_s(\Omega)|U^{\infty} - \Phi(U^{\infty})|_{T,\tilde{p}} = 0$$

so

$$U^{\infty} = \Phi(U^{\infty}) \tag{6.53}$$

Now we come back to the notation with ϵ, then (6.53) is

$$U_{\epsilon}^{\infty} = \Phi_{\epsilon}(U_{\epsilon}^{\infty}) \tag{6.54}$$

with respect to (6.4) with ϵ.

By (6.51) and (6.52), we get $\{U_{\epsilon}^{\infty}\}_{0<\epsilon\leq 1}$ is uniformly bounded in $C^k(\Omega\times[0,T])$ for any k. So there exists subsequence $\{U_{\epsilon_i}^{\infty}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, such that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}U_{\epsilon_i}^{\infty} = \tilde{U}^{\infty} \quad in \quad C^{\tilde{p}}(\Omega\times[0,T])$$

where $\lim_{i\to\infty}\epsilon_i = 0$.

On the other hand, by (6.54) and (6.4), we have

$$(U_{\epsilon_i}^{\infty})_t = (\chi^2 + \epsilon_i)(U_{\epsilon_i}^{\infty})^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla_{\beta}(U_{\epsilon_i}^{\infty}) + D(U_{\epsilon_i}^{\infty}) + B(U_{\epsilon_i}^{\infty}, \nabla U_{\epsilon_i}^{\infty}) \tag{6.55}$$

Let $i \to \infty$ in (6.55), we get

$$(\tilde{U}^{\infty})_t = \chi^2(\tilde{U}^{\infty})^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla_{\beta}(\tilde{U}^{\infty}) + D(\tilde{U}^{\infty}) + B(\tilde{U}^{\infty}, \nabla\tilde{U}^{\infty})$$

Then $\tilde{U}^{\infty}$ is a solution of (6.3) on $\Omega\times[0,T]$, and $\tilde{U}^{\infty} \in C^{\tilde{p}}(\Omega\times[0,T])$.

Because $\{U_{\epsilon}^{\infty}\}_{0<\epsilon\leq 1}$ is uniformly bounded in $C^k(\Omega\times[0,T])$ for any k, then for any k, we have subsequence of $\{U_{\epsilon_i}^{\infty}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ denoted as $\{U_j^{\infty}\}$ such that $\lim_{j\to\infty}U_j^{\infty} = \tilde{U}^{k,\infty}$ in $C^k(\Omega\times[0,T])$, then we get that $\tilde{U}^{\infty} = \tilde{U}^{k,\infty} \in C^k(\Omega\times[0,T])$ for any k. So we have

$$\tilde{U}^{\infty} \in C^{\infty}(\Omega\times[0,T])$$

So $\tilde{U}^{\infty}$ is a smooth solution of (6.3) on $\Omega\times[0,T]$. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] J.BEMELMANS, MIN-OO and E.A.RUH: *Smoothing Riemannian Metrics*, Math. Zeitschr., Vol.188, 1984, 69-74.

[2] B.Chow and D.Knopf: *The Ricci Flow: An Introduction*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 110, AMS, Providence, RI, 2004.

[3] B.Chow, S.Chu, D.Glickenstein, C.Guenther, J.Isenberg, T.Ivey, D.Knopf, P.Lu, F.Luo and L.Ni: *The Ricci Flow: Techniques and Applications Part I: Geometric Aspects*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 135, AMS, Providence, RI, 2007.

[4] B.Chow, S.Chu, D.Glickenstein, C.Guenther, J.Isenberg, T.Ivey, D.Knopf, P.Lu, F.Luo and L.Ni: *The Ricci Flow: Techniques and Applications Part II: Analytic Aspects*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 144, AMS, Providence, RI, 2008.

[5] D.DeTurck: *Deforming metrics in the direction of their Ricci tensors*, J. Differential Geom. 18 (1983), no.1, 157–162

[6] R.Hamilton: *Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, J.Differential Geometry, 17(1982), 255-306.

[7] E.Hebey: *Nonlinear analysis on manifolds: Sobolev spaces and inequalities*, AMS and Courant Institute of Mathematics Sciences, 2000.

[8] S.Klainerman: *Global Existence for Nonlinear Wave Equations*, Commun. Pure. Appl. Math., Vol 43, 1980, 43-101.

[9] Peter Li & R.Schoen: L^p *and mean value properties of subharmonic functions on Riemannian manifolds*, Acta Math. 153(1984), 279-301.

[10] L.Saloff-Coste: *Uniformly elliptic operators on Riemannian manifolds*, J.Differential Geometry, 36(1992), 417-450.

[11] L.Saloff-Coste: *Aspects of Sobolev-Type Inequalities*, London Math Society Lecture Note Series, 289, Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[12] Wan-Xiong Shi: *Deforming the metric on complete Riemannian manifolds*, J.Differential Geometry, 30(1989), 223-301.

[13] Wan-Xiong Shi: *Ricci flow and the Uniformization on complete noncompact Kahler manifolds*, J.Differential Geometry, 45(1997), 94-220.

[14] Yuanqi Wang: *Pseudolocality of Ricci Flow under Integral Bound of Curvature*, arXiv: 0903.2913v1 [math.DG]

[15] Deane Yang: *Convergence of Riemannian manifolds with integral bounds on curvature.I*, Ann Sci.E.N.S, 1992, 77-105.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455
E-mail address: `guoyixu@math.umn.edu`